

## FORMAL LANGUAGES AND AUTOMATA

### THEORY

B.Tech. III Year I Semester								
Course Code	Category	Hours / Week			Credits	Maximum Marks		
		L	T	P		C	CIA	SEE
CS3101PC	Core	3	0	0	3	25	75	100
Contact classes: 60	Tutorial Classes : NIL	Practical classes : NIL			Total Classes :60			

**Prerequisite: A course on “Discrete Mathematics”**

#### Course Objectives

- To provide introduction to some of the central ideas of theoretical computer science from the perspective of formal languages.
- To introduce the fundamental concepts of formal languages, grammars and automata theory.
- Classify machines by their power to recognize languages.
- Employ finite state machines to solve problems in computing.
- To understand deterministic and non-deterministic machines.
- To understand the differences between decidability and undecidability.

#### Course Outcomes

- Able to understand the concept of abstract machines and their power to recognize the languages.
- Able to employ finite state machines for modeling and solving computing problems.
- Able to design context free grammars for formal languages.
- Able to distinguish between decidability and undecidability.
- Able to gain proficiency with mathematical tools and formal methods.

#### MODULE-I

**Introduction to Finite Automata:** Structural Representations, Automata and Complexity, the Central Concepts of Automata Theory—Alphabets, Strings, Languages, Problems.

**Non-deterministic Finite Automata:** Formal Definition, an application, Text Search, Finite Automata with Epsilon-Transitions.

**Deterministic Finite Automata:** Definition of DFA, How a DFA Processes Strings, The language of DFA, Conversion of NFA with  $\epsilon$ -transitions to NFA without  $\epsilon$ -transitions.

Conversion of NFA to DFA, Moore and Melay machines.

## MODULE-II

**Regular Expressions:** Finite Automata and Regular Expressions, Applications of Regular Expressions, Algebraic Laws for Regular Expressions, Conversion of Finite Automata to Regular Expressions.

**Pumping Lemma for Regular Languages:** Statement of the pumping lemma, Applications of the Pumping Lemma.

**Closure Properties of Regular Languages:** Closure properties of Regular languages, Decision Properties of Regular Languages, Equivalence and Minimization of Automata.

## MODULE-III

**Context-Free Grammars:** Definition of Context-Free Grammars, Derivations Using a Grammar, Left most and Right most Derivations, the Language of a Grammar, Sentential Forms, Parse Trees, Applications of Context-Free Grammars, Ambiguity in Grammars and Languages.

**Push Down Automata:** Definition of the Push down Automaton, the Languages of a PDA, Equivalence of PDA's and CFG's, Acceptance by final state, Acceptance by empty stack, Deterministic Pushdown Automata. From CFG to PDA, From PDA to CFG.

## MODULE-IV

**Normal Forms for Context-Free Grammars:** Eliminating useless symbols, Eliminating  $\epsilon$ -Productions. Chomsky Normal form, Greibach Normal form.

**Pumping Lemma for Context-Free Languages:** Statement of pumping lemma, Applications.

**Closure Properties of Context-Free Languages:** Closure properties of CFL's, Decision Properties of CFL's

**Turing Machines:** Introduction to Turing Machine, Formal Description, Instantaneous description, The language of a Turing machine

## MODULE-V

**Types of Turing machine:** Turing machines and halting

**Undecidability:** Undecidability, A Language that is Not Recursively Enumerable, An Undecidable Problem That is RE, Undecidable Problems about Turing Machines, Recursive languages, Properties of recursive languages, Post's Correspondence Problem, Modified Post Correspondence problem, Other Undecidable Problems, Counter machines.

### **TEXTBOOKS:**

1. Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages and Computation, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, John E. Hopcroft, Rajeev Motwani, Jeffrey D. Ullman, Pearson Education.
2. Theory of Computer Science – Automata Languages and Computation, Mishra and Chandrashekar, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, PHI.

### **REFERENCE BOOKS:**

1. Introduction to Languages and the Theory of Computation, John C. Martin, TMH.
2. Introduction to Computer Theory, Daniel A. Cohen, John Wiley.
3. A Textbook on Automata Theory, P. K. Srimani, Nasir S. F. B, Cambridge University Press.
4. Introduction to the Theory of Computation, Michael Sipser, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Cengage Learning.
5. Introduction to Formal Languages Automata Theory and Computation Kamala Krithivasan, Rama R, Pearson.

**NRCM**

your roots to success...

## Unit-1: Finite Automata

### AUTOMATA THEORY:

- Automaton = an abstract computing device. A mathematical device which acts as a computer for computation.
- Note: A "device" need not even be a physical hardware.
- The term "Automata" is derived from the Greek word "αὐτόματα" which means "self-acting".
- Automaton is singular and Automata is plural.

### Why study automata theory? or Applications of automata Theory

- The **lexical analyzer** and **Syntax analyzers** of a typical Compiler
- Software for designing and checking the behavior of digital circuits
- Software for scanning large bodies of text such as collections of Web pages to find occurrences of words, phrases or other patterns.
- The software for Natural Language Processing take the help of an automata theory (**Chat boat Application**).

### INTRODUCTION TO FINITE AUTOMATA:

**Symbol:** A Symbol is an abstract entity. It cannot be formerly defined as points in geometry.

Ex: letters or digits or special symbols like !, @, #, \$..

**Alphabet:** A finite set of symbols denoted by  $\Sigma$ .

Ex:  $\Sigma = \{a, b, \dots, z\}$  is called english alphabet

$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  is called Binary Alphabet

**String/Word:** Finite sequence of letters from the alphabet. It Is denoted by S or W

Ex: S= computer is a string defined over  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, \dots, z\}$

Ex: W=010100 is a binary word defined over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

**Length of a string:** It is the number of symbols present in a given string. It is denoted by  $|S|$ .

Ex: S= computer then  $|S|=8$

**Empty/Null string ( $\epsilon$ ):** If  $|S|=0$  then it is called an empty string. It is denoted by  $\lambda$  or  $\epsilon$ .

**Powers of an alphabet ( $\Sigma^k$ ):** if  $\Sigma$  is an alphabet then  $\Sigma^k$  is the set of strings of length k.

Ex:  $\Sigma^0 = \{\epsilon\}$ ,  $\Sigma^1 = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\Sigma^2 = \{00, 11, 01, 10\}$

**Kleene /Star Closure ( $\Sigma^*$ ):** The infinite set of all possible strings of all possible lengths over  $\Sigma$  including

$\epsilon$ . i.e.,  $\Sigma^* = \Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^2 \cup \dots$  where  $\Sigma^k$  is the set of all possible strings of length k.

Ex: If  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  then  $\Sigma^* = \{\epsilon, a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, \dots\}$

**Positive Closure ( $\Sigma^+$ ):** The infinite set of all possible strings of all possible lengths over  $\Sigma$  excluding  $\epsilon$ . i.e.,  $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^2 \cup \dots$  where  $\Sigma^k$  is the set of all possible strings of length  $k$ .

Ex: If  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  then  $\Sigma^+ = \{a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, \dots\}$

**Strings Concatenation:** Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two strings. The Concatenation of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is adding the string  $S_2$  at the end of string  $S_1$ .

Ex:  $S_1 = \text{Computer}$ ,  $S_2 = \text{Science}$  then  $S_1S_2 = \text{ComputerScience}$  and  $S_2S_1 = \text{Science Computer}$

**Language:** A non Empty Subset of  $\Sigma^*$  is called a language. It is denoted by  $L$ .

Ex: Let  $\Sigma = \{0,1\}$

$\Sigma^* = \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 11, 01, 10, 111, 000, 101, 011, \dots\}$

$L = \{0, 00, 10, 110, \dots\}$  is called even binary numbers language.

### OPERATIONS ON LANGUAGES:

If  $L_1, L_2$  are two languages then

**Union operation:** It is denoted as  $L_1 \cup L_2$  or  $L_1 + L_2$ , and is defined as  $L_1 \cup L_2 = \{s \mid s \text{ is in } L_1 \text{ or } s \text{ is in } L_2\}$ .

**Intersection operation:** It is denoted as  $L_1 \cap L_2$ , and is defined as  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{s \mid s \text{ is in } L_1 \text{ and } s \text{ is in } L_2\}$ .

**Concatenation operation:** It is denoted as  $L_1L_2$  and is defined as  $L_1L_2 = \{xy \mid L_1 \in x \text{ and } L_2 \in y\}$


**Difference operation:** It is denoted as  $L_1 - L_2$ , and is defined as  $L_1 - L_2 = \{s \mid s \text{ is in } L_1 \text{ and } s \text{ is not in } L_2\}$ .

**Keen Closure operation ( $L^*$ ):** It is the language consisting of all words that are Concatenations of 0 or more words in the original language (including null string).

**Problems in Automata Theory:** It is the question of deciding whether a given string is a member of some particular language. Precisely, if  $\Sigma$  is an alphabet and  $L$  is a language over  $\Sigma$  then the problem  $L$  is a given a string  $w$  in  $\Sigma^*$  decide whether or not  $w$  is in  $L$ .

### BLOCK DIAGRAM OF FINITE AUTOMATA:

your roots to success...



**Fig: Block Diagram of Finite Automata**

An automaton with a finite no of states is called finite automaton or Finite state machine.

It consists of three components 1) Input Tape 2) Read/Write Head 3) Finite Control

- Input Tape: i) the input tape is divided in to squares, each square contains a single symbol from the input alphabet  $\Sigma$ . ii) The end squares of each tape contain end markers different from symbols of  $\Sigma$ . iii) Absence of end markers indicate the tape is of infinite length. iv) The symbols between end markers is the input string to be processed.
- Read/Write Head: The R/W head examines only one square at a time and can move one square either to the left or the right.
- Finite control: Finite control can be considered as the control unit of an FA. An automaton always resides in a state. The reading head scans the input from the input tape and sends it to finite control. In this finite control, it is decided that 'the machine is in this state and it is getting this input, so it will go to this state'. The state transition relations are written in this finite control.

**DEF: FINITE AUTOMATA**


A finite automaton is a collection of 5-tuple  $M=(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ , where:

- Q: finite set of states
- $\Sigma$ : finite set of the input symbol
- $q_0$ : initial state
- F: Set of final states
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$  is a Transition function


**REPRESENTATION OF FA:** Finite automata can be represented in two ways: (i) Graphical representation and (ii) Tabular representation.

**Graphical Representation of FA:**

- It is called as transition graph or diagram
- It is a collection of states and transitions
- A state is represented by a circle



- A beginning/initial state is represented as  $q_0$
- A final state is represented as  $q_2$
- A directed edge indicates the transition from one state to another state and edges are labeled with input symbols.
- **EX: GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF FA**




### Tabular Representation: Transition table

- It is a table of order  $m \times n$ .
- First row indicates inputs and first column indicates states and the corresponding entities are outputs of a transition function.
- Start state is marked with arrow and final state is marked with \* or circle.

$\delta$	0	1
$\rightarrow q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$
$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_2$
$q_3$	--	$q_3$

**Ex:** Consider an automata  $M=(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where  $Q=\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$ ,  $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$ ,  $F=\{q_2\}$ ,  $\delta(q_0, a)=q_1$ ,  $\delta(q_0, b)=q_2$ ,  $\delta(q_1, a)=q_2$ ,  $\delta(q_1, b)=q_0$ ,  $\delta(q_2, a)=q_2$ ,  $\delta(q_2, b)=q_2$ . Draw transition diagram and transition table.

$\Delta/\Sigma$	a	b
$\rightarrow q_0$	$q_1$	$q_2$
$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_0$
$*q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$



### PROPERTIES OF TRANSITION FUNCTION ( $\delta$ ):

- $\delta(q, \epsilon) = q$  i.e., If the input symbol is null for a given state  $q$ , it remains in the same state.
- For all strings  $w$  and input symbol  $a$ ,  $\delta(q, aw) = \delta(\delta(q, a), w)$

**ACCEPTANCE OF A STRING BY FA:** A string  $w$  is accepted by a finite automata  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  if  $\delta(q_0, w) = q$  for some  $q \in F$ .

**Ex:** Now let us consider the finite state machine whose transition function  $\delta$  is given in the form of transition table. Where  $Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  &  $F = \{q_0\}$ . Test whether the string 110101 is accepted or not

$\delta$	0	1
$\rightarrow *q_0$	$q_2$	$q_1$
$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_0$
$q_2$	$q_0$	$q_3$
$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_2$

- Sol:

$$\delta(q_0, 110101) = \delta(q_1, 10101) = \delta(q_0, 0101) = \delta(q_2, 101) = \delta(q_3, 01) = \delta(q_1, 1) = \delta(q_0, \epsilon) = q_0$$

Hence      1      1      0      1      0      1

your roots to success...



$q_0 \rightarrow q_1 \rightarrow q_0 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow q_3 \rightarrow q_1 \rightarrow q_0$

Here  $q_0$  is not a final state. Hence the string is rejected.

- **TYPES OF FINITE AUTOMATA:** There are two types of finite automata

DFA-Deterministic Finite Automata

NFA -Nondeterministic Finite Automata

**DFA:** It refers to deterministic finite automata. Deterministic refers to the uniqueness of the computation. In the DFA, the machine goes to one state only for a particular input character. DFA does not accept the null move.

**NFA:** It is used to transmit any number of states for a particular input. It can accept the null move.


- Some important points about DFA and NFA:
  - Every DFA is NFA, but the converse need not be true i.e., every NFA need not be DFA.
  - There can be multiple final states in both NFA and DFA.
  - DFA is used in Lexical Analysis in Compiler.
  - Construction of NFA is easier than the construction of DFA
  - To test string is Accepted or not easier in DFA than in NFA

**DETERMINISTIC FINITE AUTOMATA (DFA):** A DFA can be represented by a 5-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where

- $Q$  is a finite set of states.
- $\Sigma$  is a finite set of symbols called the alphabet.
- $\delta$  is the transition function where  $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$
- $q_0$  is the initial state from where any input is processed ( $q_0 \in Q$ ).
- $F$  is a set of Final states
- **Design a DFA which accepts strings ending with 0 defined over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$**

Transition Diagram:

Transition Table:




$\delta$	0	1
q0	q1	q0
q1	q1	q0

Maisamma, V. Kompally, 500100, Secunderabad, Telangana State, India  
 Design a DFA to accept all strings starting with 0 defined over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

Transition

Diagram:

Transition Table:




	0	1
q0	q1	q2
q1	q1	q1
q2	--	--

Test whether the string 0101010 is accepted or not

- Design a FA which accepts strings starts with 1 and ends with 0 defined over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

Transition Diagram:



Transition Table:

$\delta$	0	1
$\rightarrow q_0$		
q1		
*q2		

Test whether the string 11010101 is accepted or not

your roots to success...

- Design a FA which accepts the only input 101 defined over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

Transition Diagram:





Fig: FA

Transition Table:

- Design FA which accepts even number of 0's and even number of 1's over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

Transition Diagram:



Transition Table:

	0	1
q0	q1	q3
q1	q0	q2
q2	q3	q1
q3	q2	q0

- Design FA which accepts odd number of 0's and odd number of 1's defined over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

Transition Diagram:

Transition Table

- Design FA accepts even number of 0's and odd number of 1's defined over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

Transition Diagram:


Transition Table

- Design FA which accepts odd number of 0's and even number of 1's defined over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

Transition Diagram:

Transition Table

- Design FA which accepts the set of all strings with three consecutive 0's.




Transition Table:


- Design a DFA for  $L(M) = \{w \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^* \text{ and } W \text{ is a string that does not contain three consecutive 0's}\}$

consecutive 1's}.

- When three consecutive 1's occur the DFA will be:



Here two consecutive 1's or single 1 is acceptable, hence




The stages q0, q1, q2 are the final states. The DFA will generate the strings that do not contain consecutive 1's like 10, 110, 101,.... etc.

**Transition Table:**

- Design a FA which accepts the strings with an even number of 0's followed by single 1

**Transition Diagram:**



**Transition Table:**

	0	1
q0		
q1		
q2		

your roots to success... **Practice Problems**

- Design a FA with  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  accepts the strings with an even number of 0's followed by single 1

- Design a finite automata that recognizes i) even no of a's ii) odd no of b's defined over  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$
- Design a DFA that contains 001as a substring defined over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
- Design a FA to accept strings of a's and b's ending with abb defined over  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$
- Design a DFA which accepts the strings starting with 1 and ending with 0.
- Obtain the DFA that recognizes the language  $L(M)=\{W/W \text{ is in } \{a, b, c\}^* \text{ and } W \text{ contains the pattern } abac\}$
- Design a DFA for the language  $L=\{0^m1^n: m \geq 0, n \geq 1\}$
- Design a DFA for the language  $L=\{0^m1^n: m \geq 1, n \geq 1\}$
- **Note: Decimal to Binary**
- { 0-0, 1-1, 2-10, 3-11, 4-100, 5-101, 6-110, 7-111, 8-1000, 9-1001, 10-1010, 11-1011, 12-1100, 13-1101, 14-1110,.....}
- Design a FA which checks whether a given binary number is even
- Design a FA that accepts the set of all strings that interpreted as binary representation of an unsigned decimal number i) which is divisible by 2 ii) divisible by 3, iii) which is divisible by 5.

**Divisible by 2:**

**Divisible by 3:**

**Divisible by 4:**

**Divisible by 5:**


### Non-Deterministic Finite Automata (NFA):

- NFA stands for Non-Deterministic Finite Automata. It is easy to construct an NFA than DFA for a given regular language.
- The finite automata are called NFA when there exist many paths for specific input from the current state to the next state.
- Every NFA is not DFA, but each NFA can be translated into DFA.
- NFA is defined in the same way as DFA but with the following two exceptions, it contains multiple next states, and it contains  $\epsilon$  transition.

A NFA can be represented by a 5-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where

- $Q$  is a finite set of states.
- $\Sigma$  is a finite set of symbols called the alphabet.
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^Q$  is a transition function
- $q_0$ : initial state
- $F$ : Set of final states

Ex: Design an NFA with  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  accepts all string ending with 01




Transition Table:

	0	1
$\rightarrow q_0$	{q0,q1}	{q0}
q1	--	{q2}
*q2	--	--

Ex: Design an NFA with  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  in which double '1' is followed by double '0'.


Transition Diagram:



Transition Table:


Ex: Design an NFA in which all the string contain a substring 1110

Transition Diagram:



Transition Table:

Ex: Design an NFA with  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  accepts all string in which the third symbol from the right end is always 0.



### CONVERSION OF NFA to DFA:

- Let,  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  is an NFA which accepts the language  $L(M)$ . There should be equivalent DFA denoted by  $M' = (Q', \Sigma', q_0', \delta', F')$  such that  $L(M) = L(M')$ .


#### Steps for converting NFA to DFA:

- Step 1:** Start from the initial state of NFA. Take the state with the '[ ]'.
- Step 2:** place the next states for the initial state for the given inputs in the next columns put them also in [ ].
- Step 3:** If any new combination of state appears in next state column then take the combination in the present state column.
- Step 4:** If no new combination of state appears then stop the process.
- Step 5:** The initial state for the constructed DFA will be the initial state of NFA.
- Step 6:** The Final state(s) for the constructed DFA will be the combinations of states containing at least one final state of NFA.

### EX: CONVERT THE GIVEN NFA TO DFA

S. No	DFA	NFA
1	The transition from a state is to a single particular next state for each input symbol. Hence it is called deterministic	The transition from a state can be to multiple next states for each input symbol. Hence it is called non-deterministic.
2	Empty string transitions are not seen in DFA.	NFA permits empty string transitions.

3	Backtracking is allowed in DFA	In N DFA, backtracking is not always possible.
4	Requires more space.	Requires less space.
5	A string is accepted by a DFA, if it transits to a final state.	A string is accepted by a N DFA, if at least one of all possible transitions ends in a final state.



	0	1
→q0	{q0}	{q1}
q1	{q1,q2}	{q1}
*q2	{q2}	{q1,q2}

Now we will obtain  $\delta'$  transition for state  $q_0$ .

$$\delta'([q_0], 0) = [q_0] \quad \delta'([q_0], 1) = [q_1] \quad \text{(new state generated)}$$

$$\delta'([q_1], 0) = [q_1, q_2] \quad \text{(new state generated)}$$

$$\delta'([q_1], 1) = [q_1]$$

Now we will obtain  $\delta'$  transition on  $[q_1, q_2]$ .

$$\delta'([q_1, q_2], 0) = \delta(q_1, 0) \cup \delta(q_2, 0) = \{q_1, q_2\} \cup \{q_2\} = [q_1, q_2]$$


$$\delta'([q_1, q_2], 1) = \delta(q_1, 1) \cup \delta(q_2, 1) = \{q_1\} \cup \{q_1, q_2\} = \{q_1, q_2\} = [q_1, q_2]$$

The state  $[q_1, q_2]$  is the final state because it contains a final state  $q_2$ .






	0	1
$\rightarrow [q_0]$	$[q_0]$	$[q_1]$
$[q_1]$	$[q_1, q_2]$	$[q_1]$
$*[q_1, q_2]$	$[q_1, q_2]$	$[q_1, q_2]$



**EX:NFA TO DFA CONVERSION**



	0	1
$\rightarrow q_0$	$\{q_0, q_1\}$	$\{q_1\}$
$*q_1$	--	$\{q_0, q_1\}$

Now we will obtain  $\delta'$  transition for state  $q_0$ .

$\delta'([q_0], 0) = \{q_0, q_1\} = [q_0, q_1]$  (new state generated)

The  $\delta'$  transition for state  $q_1$  is obtained as:

$$\delta'([q_1], 0) = \varnothing, \quad \delta'([q_1], 1) = [q_0, q_1]$$

Now we will obtain  $\delta'$  transition on  $[q_0, q_1]$ .


$$\delta'([q_0, q_1], 0) = \delta(q_0, 0) \cup \delta(q_1, 0) = \{q_0, q_1\} \cup \varnothing = \{q_0, q_1\} = [q_0, q_1]$$

Similarly,

$$\delta'([q_0, q_1], 1) = \delta(q_0, 1) \cup \delta(q_1, 1) = \{q_1\} \cup \{q_0, q_1\} = \{q_0, q_1\} = [q_0, q_1]$$

As in the given NFA,  $q_1$  is a final state, then in DFA wherever,  $q_1$  exists that state becomes a final state. Hence in the DFA, final states are  $[q_1]$  and  $[q_0, q_1]$ . Therefore set of final states  $F = \{[q_1], [q_0, q_1]\}$ .

	0	1
$\rightarrow [q_0]$	$[q_0, q_1]$	$[q_1]$
$*[q_1]$	$\varnothing$	$[q_0, q_1]$
$*[q_0, q_1]$	$[q_0, q_1]$	$[q_0, q_1]$



Even we can change the name of the states of DFA.


Suppose  $A = [q_0]$   $B = [q_1]$   $C = [q_0, q_1]$


With these new names the DFA will be as follows:

### NFA WITH EPSILON TRANSITIONS

Def: If any finite automata contain  $\epsilon$  (null) move or transition, then that finite automaton is called NFA with  $\epsilon$  moves

your roots to success...






STATES	0	1	EPSILON
A	{B, C}	{A}	{B}
B	-	{B}	{C}
C	{C}	{C}	-



your roots to success...



	0	1	$\epsilon$
A	{E}	{B}	$\emptyset$
B	$\emptyset$	{C}	{D}
C	$\emptyset$	{D}	$\emptyset$
* D	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
E	{F}	$\emptyset$	{B, C}
F	{D}	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$


**EPSILON ( $\epsilon$ ) – CLOSURE:**

- Epsilon closure for a given state X is a set of states which can be reached from the states X with only (null) or  $\epsilon$  moves including the state X itself. In other words,  $\epsilon$ -closure for a state can be obtained by union operation of the  $\epsilon$ -closure of the states which can be reached from X with a single  $\epsilon$  move in recursive manner.
- For the above example  $\epsilon$  closure are as follows :
- $\epsilon$  closure(A) : {A, B, C},       $\epsilon$  closure(B) : {B, C},       $\epsilon$  closure(C) : {C}

### Construction of $\epsilon$ -NFA:

**Ex: Construct  $\epsilon$ -NFA with  $\epsilon$ -transitions and it accepts strings of the form  $\{0^n 1^m 2^o / n, m, o \geq 0\}$ , that is, any number of 0's followed by any number of 1's followed by any number of 2's.**


### Transition Diagram:



### Transition Table:

	0	1	2	$\epsilon$
$\rightarrow q_0$	{q0}	--	--	{q1}
q1	--	{q1}	--	{q2}
*q2	--	--	{q2}	--

**Ex: Design NFA for language  $L = \{0^k | k \text{ is multiple of 2 or 3}\}$ .**




you to success...

### Conversion of $\epsilon$ -NFA TO NFA or elimination of $\epsilon$ transitions

- Find  $\epsilon$ -closure  $\{q_i\}$  for all  $q_i \in Q$ .
- Find  $\delta^{\wedge}(q,a) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(\delta^{\wedge}(q, \epsilon), a)) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(\epsilon\text{-closure}(q), a))$
- Repeat Step-2 for each input symbol and each state of given NFA.
- Using the resultant states, the transition table for equivalent NFA without  $\epsilon$  can be built.
- If the  $\epsilon$ -closure of a state contains a final state then make the state as final.

Ex: Convert the following  $\epsilon$ -NFA TO NFA



**Solutions:** We will first obtain

$\epsilon$ -closures of  $q_0, q_1$  and  $q_2$  as follows:

$$\epsilon\text{-closure}(q_0) = \{q_0\}, \epsilon\text{-closure}(q_1) = \{q_1, q_2\}$$

$$\epsilon\text{-closure}(q_2) = \{q_2\}$$

Now the  $\delta^{\wedge}$  transition on each input symbol is obtained as:

$$\delta^{\wedge}(q_0, a) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(\delta^{\wedge}(q_0, \epsilon), a)) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(\epsilon\text{-closure}(q_0), a)) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(q_0, a)) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(q_1)$$

$$= \{q_1, q_2\}$$

$$\delta^{\wedge}(q_0, b) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(\delta^{\wedge}(q_0, \epsilon), b)) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(\epsilon\text{-closure}(q_0), b)) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(q_0, b)) = \Phi$$

$$\delta^{\wedge}(q_2, a) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(\delta^{\wedge}(q_2, \epsilon), a)) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(\epsilon\text{-closure}(q_2), a)) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(q_2, a))$$

$$= \epsilon\text{-closure}(\Phi) = \Phi$$

$$\delta^{\wedge}(q_2, b) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(\delta^{\wedge}(q_2, \epsilon), b)) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(\epsilon\text{-closure}(q_2), b))$$


$$= \epsilon\text{-closure}(\delta(q_2, b)) = \epsilon\text{-closure}(q_2) = \{q_2\}$$

Now we will summarize all the computed  $\delta^{\wedge}$  transitions:

$$\delta^{\wedge}(q_0, a) = \{q_0, q_1\} \quad \delta^{\wedge}(q_0, b) = \Phi \quad \delta^{\wedge}(q_1, a) = \Phi, \quad \delta^{\wedge}(q_1, b) = \{q_2\} \quad \delta^{\wedge}(q_2, a) = \Phi, \quad \delta^{\wedge}(q_2, b) = \{q_2\}.$$




	a	b
→q0	{q1, q2}	Φ
*q1	Φ	{q2}
*q2	Φ	{q2}



State q1 and q2 become the final state as  $\epsilon$ -closure of q1 and q2 contain the final state q2.

Ex: Convert the following  $\epsilon$ -NFA TO NFA



The transition table is

	a = 0	a = 1	a = 2	a = $\epsilon$
→q <sub>0</sub>	q <sub>0</sub>	∅	∅	q <sub>1</sub>
q <sub>1</sub>	∅	q <sub>1</sub>	∅	q <sub>2</sub>
*q <sub>2</sub>	∅	∅	q <sub>2</sub>	∅

**CONVERSION FROM  $\epsilon$ -NFA TO DFA**

**Step 1:** If  $\epsilon$ -closure( $q_0$ ) = {P1,P2,..Pn} then [P1P2..Pn] becomes the starting state of DFA.


**Step 2:** Find  $\delta_D$  ([P1P2..Pn], a) =  $\epsilon$ -closure( $\delta$ (P1,P2,..Pn),a))

**Step 3:** If we found a new state, take it as current state and repeat step 2.

**Step 4:** Repeat Step 2 and Step 3 until there is no new state present in the transition table of DFA.

**Step 5:** Mark the states of DFA as a final state which contains the final state of NFA.

**EX: CONVERT THE NFA WITH  $\epsilon$  INTO ITS EQUIVALENT DFA.**



Let us obtain  $\epsilon$ -closure of each state.

$$\epsilon\text{-closure } \{q_0\} = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$$

$$\epsilon\text{-closure } \{q_1\} = \{q_1\}$$

$$\epsilon\text{-closure } \{q_2\} = \{q_2\}$$

$$\epsilon\text{-closure } \{q_3\} = \{q_3\}$$

$$\epsilon\text{-closure } \{q_4\} = \{q_4\}$$

Now, let  $\epsilon\text{-closure } \{q_0\} = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$  be state A.

Hence

$$\delta'(A, 0) = \epsilon\text{-closure } \{\delta(\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, 0)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure } \{\delta(q_0, 0) \cup \delta(q_1, 0) \cup \delta(q_2, 0)\}$$

$$= \epsilon\text{-closure } \{q_3\} = \{q_3\} \quad \text{call it as state B.}$$

$$\delta'(A, 1) = \epsilon\text{-closure } \{\delta(\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, 1)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure } \{\delta(q_0, 1) \cup \delta(q_1, 1) \cup \delta(q_2, 1)\}$$

$$= \epsilon\text{-closure } \{q_3\} = \{q_3\} = B.$$

your roots to success...

Now,

$$\delta'(B, 0) = \epsilon\text{-closure } \{\delta(q_3, 0)\} = \phi$$

$$\delta'(B, 1) = \epsilon\text{-closure } \{\delta(q_3, 1)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure } \{q_4\} = \{q_4\} \quad \text{i.e. state C}$$

$$\text{For state C: } \delta'(C, 0) = \epsilon\text{-closure } \{\delta(q_4, 0)\} = \phi$$





Your roots to success...

# NARSIMHA REDDY ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## UGC AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION


Maisammaguda (V), Kompally - 500100, Secunderabad, Telangana State, India

UGC - Autonomous Institute  
Accredited by NBA & NAAC with 'A' Grade  
Approved by AICTE  
Permanently affiliated to JNTUH

$\delta'(C, 1) = \epsilon\text{-closure} \{ \delta(q_4, 1) \} = \varphi$



your roots to success...



**Ex: Convert the given NFA with epsilon into its equivalent DFA**

**L= any no of a's followed by any no of b's followed by any no of c's**

**Solution:** Let us obtain the  $\epsilon$ -closure of each state.

$$\epsilon\text{-closure}(q_0) = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$$

$$\epsilon\text{-closure}(q_1) = \{q_1, q_2\}$$

$$\epsilon\text{-closure}(q_2) = \{q_2\}$$

Now we will obtain  $\delta'$  transition.

Let  $\epsilon\text{-closure}(q_0) = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$  call it as **state A**.

$$\delta'(A, 0) = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta((q_0, q_1, q_2), 0)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta(q_0, 0) \cup \delta(q_1, 0) \cup \delta(q_2, 0)\}$$

$$= \epsilon\text{-closure}\{q_0\} = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$$

$$\delta'(A, 1) = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta((q_0, q_1, q_2), 1)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta(q_0, 1) \cup \delta(q_1, 1) \cup \delta(q_2, 1)\}$$

$$= \epsilon\text{-closure}\{q_1\} = \{q_1, q_2\} \quad \text{call it as state B}$$

$$\delta'(A, 2) = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta((q_0, q_1, q_2), 2)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta(q_0, 2) \cup \delta(q_1, 2) \cup \delta(q_2, 2)\}$$

$$= \epsilon\text{-closure}\{q_2\} = \{q_2\} \quad \text{call it state C}$$

Thus we have obtained

$$\delta'(A, 0) = A \quad \delta'(A, 1) = B \quad \delta'(A, 2) = C$$

Now we will find the transitions on states B and C for each input.

Hence

$$\delta'(B, 0) = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta((q1, q2), 0)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta(q1, 0) \cup \delta(q2, 0)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\varnothing\} = \varnothing$$

$$\delta'(B, 1) = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta((q1, q2), 1)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta(q1, 1) \cup \delta(q2, 1)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{q1\} = \{q1, q2\} \text{ i.e. state B itself}$$

$$\delta'(B, 2) = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta((q1, q2), 2)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta(q1, 2) \cup \delta(q2, 2)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{q2\} = \{q2\} \text{ i.e. state C itself}$$

Thus we have obtained

$$\delta'(B, 0) = \varnothing \quad \delta'(B, 1) = B \quad \delta'(B, 2) = C$$


Now we will obtain transitions for C:

$$\delta'(C, 0) = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta(q2, 0)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\varnothing\} = \varnothing$$

$$\delta'(C, 1) = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta(q2, 1)\} = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\varnothing\} = \varnothing$$

$$\delta'(C, 2) = \epsilon\text{-closure}\{\delta(q2, 2)\} = \{q2\}$$

As  $A = \{q0, q1, q2\}$  in which final state  $q2$  lies hence A is final state.  $B = \{q1, q2\}$  in which the state  $q2$  lies hence B is also final state.  $C = \{q2\}$ , the state  $q2$  lies hence C is also a final state.



your roots to success...

### MINIMIZATION OF DFA: REDUCTION OF NO OF STATES IN FA:

Any DFA defines a unique language but the converse is not true i.e., for any language there is a unique DFA is not always true.

### INDISTINGUISHABLE AND DISTINGUISHABLE STATES:

Two states  $p$  and  $q$  of a DFA are **indistinguishable** if  $\delta(p,w)$  is in  $F \Rightarrow \delta(q,w)$  is in  $F$  and  $\delta(p,w)$  is not in  $F \Rightarrow \delta(q,w)$  is not in  $F$

Two states  $p$  and  $q$  of a DFA are **distinguishable** if  $\delta(p,w)$  is in  $F$  and  $\delta(q,w)$  is not in  $F$  or vice versa.

### DFA MINIMIZATION: MYHILLNERODE THEOREM

#### Algorithm:

**Input** – DFA, **Output** – Minimized DFA

**Step 1** :For each pair  $[p,q]$  where  $p$  is in  $F$  and  $q$  is in  $Q-F$ , mark  $[p,q]=X$


**Step 2** :For each pair of distinct state  $[p,q]$  in  $FXF$  or  $(Q-F)X(Q-F)$  do

- if for some input symbol  $a$ ,  $\delta([p,q],a)=[r,s]$ , if  $[r,s]=X$  then
  - mark  $[p,q]=X$
  - Recursively mark all unmarked pairs which lead to  $[p,q]$  on input for all  $a$  is in  $\Sigma$
- else
  - For all input symbols  $a$  do

put  $[p,q]$  on the list for  $\delta([p,q],a)$  unless  $\delta([p,q],a)=[r,r]$

**Step 3**: For each pair  $[p,q]$  which is unmarked are the states which are equivalent

**Ex**: Find minimum-state automaton equivalent to the transition diagram



your roots to success...

**Transition Table:**

	0	1
a	b	a
b	a	c
c	d	b
d	d	a
e	d	f
f	g	e
g	f	g
h	g	D

$Q = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h\}$   $F = \{d\}$   $NF = \{a, b, c, e, f, g, h\}$

**Step1:**  $FXNF = \{(d, a), (d, b), (d, c), (d, e), (d, f), (d, g), (d, h)\}$

Mark the above states as one is final and other is non final.

b							
c							
d	X	X	X				
e				X			
f				X			
g				X			
h				X			
	a	b	c	d	e	f	g

$NFX NF = \{(a, b), (a, c), (a, e), (a, f), (a, g), (a, h), (b, c), (b, e), (b, f), (b, g), (b, h), (e, f), (e, g), (e, h), (f, g), (f, h), (g, h)\}$

### Step 2:

(a) Find the states that are distinguishable with a

$$\delta([a, b], 0) = [b, a] \quad \delta([a, b], 1) = [a, c]$$

$$\delta([a, c], 0) = [b, d] \quad \delta([a, c], 1) = [a, b] \quad \text{since } [b, d]=X \text{ mark } [a, c]=X$$

$$\text{since } [a, c]=X \text{ mark } [a, b]=X$$

$$\delta([a, e], 0) = [b, d] \quad \delta([a, e], 1) = [a, f] \quad \text{since } [b, d]=X \text{ mark } [a, e]=X$$

$$\delta([a, f], 0) = [b, g] \quad \delta([a, f], 1) = [a, e] \quad \text{since } [a, e]=X \text{ mark } [a, f]=X$$

$$\delta([a, g], 0) = [b, f] \quad \delta([a, g], 1) = [a, g]$$

$$\delta([a, h], 0) = [b, g] \quad \delta([a, h], 1) = [a, d] \quad \text{since } [a, d]=X \text{ mark } [a, h]=X$$

(b) Find the states that are distinguishable with b

$$\delta([b, c], 0) = [a, d] \quad \delta([b, c], 1) = [c, b] \quad \text{since } [a, d]=X \text{ mark } [b, c]=X$$

$$\delta([b, e], 0) = [a, d] \quad \delta([b, e], 1) = [c, f] \quad \text{since } [a, d]=X \text{ mark } [b, e]=X$$

$$\delta([b, f], 0) = [a, g] \quad \delta([b, f], 1) = [c, e]$$

$$\delta([b, g], 0) = [a, f] \quad \delta([b, g], 1) = [c, g] \quad \text{since } [a, f]=X \text{ mark } [b, g]=X$$

$$\delta([b, h], 0) = [a, g] \quad \delta([b, h], 1) = [c, d] \quad \text{since } [c, d]=X \text{ mark } [b, h]=X$$

(c) Find the states that are distinguishable with c

$$\delta([c, e], 0) = [d, d] \quad \delta([c, e], 1) = [b, f]$$

$$\delta([c, f], 0) = [d, g] \quad \delta([c, f], 1) = [b, e] \quad \text{since } [d, g]=X \text{ mark } [c, f]=X$$

$$\delta([c, g], 0) = [d, f] \quad \delta([c, g], 1) = [b, g] \quad \text{since } [d, f]=X \text{ mark } [c, g]=X$$

$$\delta([c, h], 0) = [d, g] \quad \delta([c, h], 1) = [b, d] \quad \text{since } [d, g]=X \text{ mark } [c, h]=X$$

(d) Find the states that are distinguishable with e

$$\delta([e, f], 0) = [d, g] \quad \delta([e, f], 1) = [f, e] \quad \text{since } [d, g]=X \text{ mark } [e, f]=X$$

$$\delta([e, g], 0) = [d, f] \quad \delta([e, g], 1) = [f, g] \quad \text{since } [d, f]=X \text{ mark } [e, g]=X$$

$$\delta([e, h], 0) = [d, g] \quad \delta([e, h], 1) = [f, d] \quad \text{since } [d, g]=X \text{ mark } [e, h]=X$$

(e) Find the states that are distinguishable with f

$$\delta([f, g], 0) = [g, f] \quad \delta([f, g], 1) = [e, g] \quad \text{since } [e, g]=X \text{ mark } [f, g]=X$$

$$\delta([f, h], 0) = [g, g] \quad \delta([f, h], 1) = [e, d] \quad \text{since } [e, d]=X \text{ mark } [f, h]=X$$

(f) Find the states that are distinguishable with g

$$\delta([g, h], 0) = [f, g] \quad \delta([g, h], 1) = [g, d] \quad \text{since } [g, d]=X \text{ mark } [g, h]=X$$



b	X						
c	X	X					
d	X	X	X				
e	X	X		X			
f	X		X	X	X		
g		X	X	X	X	X	
h	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	a	b	c	d	e	f	g

	0	1
a	b	a
b	a	c
c	d	b
d	d	a
e	d	f
f	g	e
g	f	g
h	g	d

	0	1
a	b	a
b	a	c
c	d	b
d	d	a
e=c	d	f=b
f=b	g=a	e=c
g= a	f=b	g=a
h	g=a	d

	0	1
a	b	a
b	a	c
c	d	b
d	d	a
c	d	b
b	a	C
a	b	a
h	a	d

In the above table, [a,g], [b,f] and [c,e] are equivalent states.

Hence  $a==g$ ,  $b==f$ , and  $c==e$


### Simplified DFA

	0	1
a	b	a
b	a	c
c	d	b
d	d	a
h	a	d

**Ex: Minimize the following DFA**

### FINITE AUTOMATA WITH OUTPUTS: MOORE & MEALY M/C

- Finite automata may have outputs corresponding to state or transition. There are two types of finite state machines that generate output: (i) Moore Machine (ii) Mealy Machine
- If the output associated with state then such a machine is called Moore machine, and if the output is associated with transition then it is called mealy machine.



Moore Machine

Mealy Machine

your roots to success...

### MOORE MACHINE:

- Moore machine is a finite state machine in which the next state is decided by the current state and current input symbol. The output symbol at a given time depends only on the present state of the machine.
- Def: Moore machine can be described by 6-tuple  $M=(Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, q_0, \lambda)$  where
- $Q$ : finite set of states
- $\Sigma$ : finite set of input symbols




- $\Delta$ : output alphabet
- $q_0$ : initial state of machine
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$  is a transition function
- $\lambda: Q \rightarrow \Delta$  output function

**Ex: Design a Moore machine to generate 1's complement of a given binary number.**

**Solution:** To generate 1's complement of a given binary number the simple logic is that if the input is 0 then the output will be 1 and if the input is 1 then the output will be 0. That means there are three states. One state is start state. The second state is for taking 0's as input and produces output as 1. The third state is for taking 1's as input and producing output as 0.

Hence the Moore machine will be,



Current State	Next State		Output
	0	1	
→ q <sub>0</sub>	q <sub>1</sub>	q <sub>2</sub>	0
q <sub>1</sub>	q <sub>1</sub>	q <sub>2</sub>	1
q <sub>2</sub>	q <sub>1</sub>	q <sub>2</sub>	0

your roots to success...

For instance, take one binary number 1011 then

Input		1	0	1	1
-------	--	---	---	---	---

State	q0	q2	q1	q2	q2
Output	0	0	1	0	0


Thus we get 00100 as 1's complement of 1011, we can neglect the initial 0 and the output which we get is 0100 which is 1's complement of 1011.

**Note:** The output length for a Moore machine is greater than input by 1.

**Ex:** Design a Moore machine for a binary input sequence such that if it has a substring 101, the machine output A, if the input has substring 110, it outputs B otherwise it outputs C.


**Solution:** For designing such a machine, we will check two conditions, and those are 101 and 110. If we get 101, the output will be A, and if we recognize 110, the output will be B. For other strings, the output will be C.

The partial diagram will be:




Now we will insert the possibilities of 0's and 1's for each state. Thus the Moore machine becomes:

your roots to success...




**Ex: Construct a Moore machine that determines whether an input string contains an even or odd number of 1's. The machine should give 1 as output if an even number of 1's are in the string and 0 otherwise.**

Sol: The Moore machine will be:



This is the required Moore machine. In this machine, state q1 accepts an odd number of 1's and state q0 accepts even number of 1's. There is no restriction on a number of zeros. Hence for 0 input, self-loop can be applied on both the states.

**Ex: Design a Moore machine with the input alphabet {0, 1} and output alphabet {Y, N} which produces Y as output if input sequence contains 1010 as a substring otherwise, it produces N as output.**



### MEALY MACHINE

- A Mealy machine is a machine in which output symbol depends upon the present input symbol and present state of the machine. In the Mealy machine, the output is represented with each input symbol for each state separated by /.


Def: The Mealy machine can be described by 6- tuple  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, q_0, \delta, \lambda)$  where

- $Q$ : finite set of states
- $q_0$ : initial state of machine
- $\Sigma$ : finite set of input alphabet
- $\Delta$ : output alphabet
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$  transition function
- $\lambda: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta$  output function


**Ex:** Design a Mealy machine for a binary input sequence such that if it has a substring 101, the machine output A, if the input has substring 110, it outputs B otherwise it outputs C.

**Solution:** For designing such a machine, we will check two conditions, and those are 101 and 110. If we get 101, the output will be A. If we recognize 110, the output will be B. For other strings the output will be C.

The partial diagram will be:




Now we will insert the possibilities of 0's and 1's for each state. Thus the Mealy machine becomes:



your roots to success...

Ex: Design a mealy machine that scans sequence of input of 0 and 1 and generates output 'A' if the input string terminates in 00, output 'B' if the string terminates in 11, and output 'C' otherwise.



**CONVERSION FROM MEALY MACHINE TO MOORE MACHINE:**

In Moore machine, the output is associated with every state, and in Mealy machine, the output is given along the edge with input symbol. To convert Moore machine to Mealy machine, state output symbols are distributed to input symbol paths. But while converting the Mealy machine to Moore machine, we will create a separate state for every new output symbol and according to incoming and outgoing edges are distributed.

**Mealy to Moore machine Conversion:**

**Step 1:** For each state (Qi), calculate the number of different outputs that are available in the transition table of the Mealy machine.


**Step 2:** Copy state Qi, if all the outputs of Qi are the same. Break qi into n states as Qin, if it has n distinct outputs where n = 0, 1, 2....

**Step 3:** If the output of initial state is 0, insert a new initial state at the starting which gives ε output.

**Ex: Convert the following Mealy machine into equivalent Moore machine.**

Present State	Next State			
	a		b	
	State	O/P	State	O/P
q <sub>1</sub>	q <sub>1</sub>	1	q <sub>2</sub>	0
q <sub>2</sub>	q <sub>4</sub>	1	q <sub>4</sub>	1
q <sub>3</sub>	q <sub>2</sub>	1	q <sub>3</sub>	1
q <sub>4</sub>	q <sub>3</sub>	0	q <sub>1</sub>	1

- For state q<sub>1</sub>, there is only one incident edge with output 0. So, we don't need to split this state in Moore machine.
- For state q<sub>2</sub>, there is 2 incident edges with output 0 and 1. So, we will split this state into two states q<sub>20</sub>( state with output 0) and q<sub>21</sub>(with output 1).
- For state q<sub>3</sub>, there is 2 incident edges with output 0 and 1. So, we will split this state into two states q<sub>30</sub>( state with output 0) and q<sub>31</sub>( state with output 1).
- For state q<sub>4</sub>, there is only one incident edge with output 0. So, we don't need to split this state in Moore machine.



your roots to success...



Input	0		1	
q1	q1	1	q21	1
q20	q4	1	q4	1
q21	q4	1	q4	1
q30	q21	1	q31	1
q31	q21	1	q31	1
q4	q30	0	q1	1

Input	0	1	Output
q1	q1	q21	1
q20	q4	q4	0
q21	q4	q4	1
q30	q21	q31	0
q31	q21	q31	1
q4	q30	q1	1

Transition table for Moore machine


	0	1	Output
q1	q1	q21	1
q20	q4	q4	0
q21	q4	q4	1
q30	q21	q31	0
q31	q21	q31	1
q4	q30	q1	1

	0	1	Output
q0	q1	q21	1
q1	q1	q21	1
q20	q4	q4	0
q21	q4	q4	1
q30	q21	q31	0
q31	q21	q31	1
q4	q30	q1	1






Transition diagram for Moore machine :



Ex: Convert the following Mealy machine into equivalent Moore machine.

Transition Diagram:




Present State	Next State 0		Next State 1	
	State	0/P	State	0/P
q <sub>1</sub>	q <sub>1</sub>	0	q <sub>2</sub>	0
q <sub>2</sub>	q <sub>2</sub>	1	q <sub>3</sub>	0
q <sub>3</sub>	q <sub>2</sub>	0	q <sub>3</sub>	1

The state  $q_1$  has only one output. The state  $q_2$  and  $q_3$  have both output 0 and 1. So we will create two states for these states. For  $q_2$ , two states will be  $q_{20}$ (with output 0) and  $q_{21}$ (with output 1). Similarly, for  $q_3$  two states will be  $q_{30}$ (with output 0) and  $q_{31}$ (with output 1).

Transition table for Moore machine will be:

Present State	Next State 0	Next State 1	o/P
$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_{20}$	0
$q_{20}$	$q_{21}$	$q_{30}$	0
$q_{21}$	$q_{21}$	$q_{30}$	1
$q_{30}$	$q_{20}$	$q_{31}$	0
$q_{31}$	$q_{20}$	$q_{31}$	1

Transition diagram for Moore machine will be:



### CONVERSION FROM MOORE MACHINE TO MEALY MACHINE


- In the Moore machine, the output is associated with every state, and in the mealy machine, the output is given along the edge with input symbol. The equivalence of the Moore machine and Mealy machine means both the machines generate the same output string for same input string.
- We cannot directly convert Moore machine to its equivalent Mealy machine because the length of the Moore machine is one longer than the Mealy machine for the given input. To convert Moore machine to Mealy machine, state output symbols are distributed into input symbol paths. We are going to use the following method to convert the Moore machine to

Mealy machine.

**Method for conversion of Moore machine to Mealy machine**

Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, \lambda, q_0)$  be a Moore machine. The equivalent Mealy machine can be represented by  $M' = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, \lambda', q_0)$ . The output function  $\lambda'$  can be obtained as:  $\lambda'(q, a) = \lambda(\delta(q, a))$

**Ex: Convert the following Moore machine into its equivalent Mealy machine.**



**Solution:**

The transition table of given Moore machine is as follow.

Q	a	b	Output( $\lambda$ )
q0	q0	q1	0
q1	q0	q1	1

**The equivalent Mealy machine can be obtained as follows:**

$$\lambda'(q_0, a) = \lambda(\delta(q_0, a)) = \lambda(q_0) = 0$$

$$\lambda'(q_0, b) = \lambda(\delta(q_0, b)) = \lambda(q_1) = 1$$

The  $\lambda$  for state q1 is as follows:

$$\lambda'(q_1, a) = \lambda(\delta(q_1, a)) = \lambda(q_0) = 0$$


$$\lambda'(q_1, b) = \lambda(\delta(q_1, b)) = \lambda(q_1) = 1$$

Hence the transition table for the Mealy machine can be drawn as follows:


Q \ Σ	Input 0		Input 1	
	State	O/P	State	O/P
q <sub>0</sub>	q <sub>0</sub>	0	q <sub>1</sub>	1
q <sub>1</sub>	q <sub>0</sub>	0	q <sub>1</sub>	1

The equivalent Mealy machine will be

Note: The length of output sequence is 'n+1' in Moore machine and is 'n' in the Mealy machine



Ex: Convert the following Moore machine into its equivalent Mealy machine.



Q	a	b	Output(λ)
q0	q1	q0	0
q1	q1	q2	0
q2	q1	q0	1

The equivalent Mealy machine can be obtained as follows:

$$\lambda'(q_0, a) = \lambda(\delta(q_0, a)) = \lambda(q_1) = 0$$

$$\lambda'(q_0, b) = \lambda(\delta(q_0, b)) = \lambda(q_0) = 0$$

The  $\lambda$  for state  $q_1$  is as follows:

$$\lambda'(q_1, a) = \lambda(\delta(q_1, a)) = \lambda(q_1) = 0$$

$$\lambda'(q_1, b) = \lambda(\delta(q_1, b)) = \lambda(q_2) = 1$$


The  $\lambda$  for state  $q_2$  is as follows:

$$\lambda'(q_2, a) = \lambda(\delta(q_2, a)) = \lambda(q_1) = 0$$

$$\lambda'(q_2, b) = \lambda(\delta(q_2, b)) = \lambda(q_0) = 0$$

Hence the transition table for the Mealy machine can be drawn as follows:

Q \ $\Sigma$	Input a		Input b	
	State	Output	State	Output
$q_0$	$q_1$	0	$q_0$	0
$q_1$	$q_1$	0	$q_2$	1
$q_2$	$q_1$	0	$q_0$	0



Ex: Convert the given Moore machine into its equivalent Mealy machine.

Q	a	b	Output( $\lambda$ )
$q_0$	$q_0$	$q_1$	0
$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_0$	1
$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_2$	2

**Differences between Mealy and Moore Machines:**

S.No	Mealy Machine	Moore Machine
1	Output depends both upon the present state and the present input	Output depends only upon the present state.
2	Generally, it has fewer states than Moore Machine.	Generally, it has more states than Mealy Machine.
3	The value of the output function is a function of the transitions and the changes, when the input logic on the present state is done.	The value of the output function is a function of the current state and the changes at the clock edges, whenever state changes occur.
4	Mealy machines react faster to inputs. They generally react in the same clock cycle.	In Moore machines, more logic is required to decode the outputs resulting in more circuit delays. They generally react one clock cycle later.

**Important Questions**

**PART-A**


1. Define alphabet, string
2. Define Star closure / Kleen Closure
3. Define Positive Closure
4. Define Language
5. Define DFA, NFA and epsilon NFA
6. Define epsilon closure
7. Define Moore and Mealy machines.

**PART-B**


8. Draw the block diagram of Finite Automata and explain each component
9. Design FA which accepts i) even number of 0's and even number of 1's ii) even number of 0's and odd number of 1's iii) odd number of 0's and even number of 1's and iv) odd number of 0's and odd number of 1's over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$
10. Design a DFA for  $L(M) = \{w \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^*\}$  and W is a string that does not contain consecutive 1's}.
11. Obtain the DFA that recognizes the language  $L(M)=\{W/W \text{ is in } \{a, b c\}^* \text{ and } W \text{ contains the pattern } abac\}$

12. Design a FA that accepts the set of all strings that interpreted as binary representation of an unsigned decimal number i) which is divisible by 2 ii) divisible by 4 iii) which is divisible by 5.
13. Design an NFA with  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  in which double '1' is followed by double '0'.
14. Design an NFA with  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  accepts all string in which the third symbol from the right end is always 0.

15. What are the differences between DFA, NFA
16. Write the algorithm to convert i) NFA to DFA ii) epsilon NFA to NFA and iii) epsilon NFA to DFA. Explain by taking an example for each conversion.
17. Find minimum-state automaton equivalent to the transition diagram




18. Design a Moore machine for a binary input sequence such that if it has a substring 101, the machine output A, if the input has substring 110, it outputs B otherwise it outputs C
19. Construct a Moore machine that determines whether an input string contains an



even or odd number of 1's. The machine should give 1 as output if an even number of 1's are in the string and 0 otherwise.

20. Design a Mealy machine for a binary input sequence such that if it has a substring 101, the machine output A, if the input has substring 110, it outputs B otherwise it outputs C.
21. Design a mealy machine that scans sequence of input of 0 and 1 and generates output 'A' if the input string terminates in 00, output 'B' if the string terminates in 11, and output 'C' otherwise.
22. Convert the following Mealy machine into equivalent Moore machine

- Convert the following Moore machine into its equivalent Mealy machine




**Assignment Questions**

- Design a FA that accepts the set of all strings that interpreted as binary representation of an unsigned decimal number i) which is divisible by 2

ii) divisible by 4 iii) which is divisible by 5.

- Minimize the following DFA.



- Enumerate the differences between NFA and DFA.
- Obtain a DFA to accept strings of 0's, 1's and 2's, beginning with a 1, followed by odd number of 0's and ending with a 2?
- Obtain a DFA to accept strings starting with two 0's and ending with at least two 1's?
- Obtain a DFA to accept the integer numbers represented in binary and is a multiple of 5.



### UNIT-2: REGULAR LANGUAGES AND REGULAR EXPRESSIONS

1. Regular Expressions (RE),
2. Finite Automata and Regular Expressions,
3. Applications of Regular Expressions,
4. Algebraic laws for Regular Expressions,
5. The Arden's Theorem,
6. Using Arden's theorem to construct RE from FA,
7. Pumping Lemma for RLs, Applications of Pumping Lemma,
8. Equivalence of Two FAs,
9. Equivalence of Two REs,
10. Construction of Regular Grammar from RE,
11. Constructing FA from Regular Grammar,
12. Closure properties of RLs,
13. Decision problems of RLS,
14. Applications of REs and FAs.

#### 2.1. REGULAR EXPRESSION

- The language accepted by finite automata can be easily described by simple expressions called Regular Expressions. It is the most effective way to represent any language.
- The languages accepted by some regular expression are referred to as Regular languages.
- A regular expression can also be described as a sequence of pattern that defines a string.
- Regular expressions are used to match character combinations in strings. String searching algorithm used this pattern to find the operations on a string.
- Regular Set: sets which are accepted by FA
- Ex:  $L = \{a, aa, aaa, \dots\}$

**Regular Expression:** Let  $\Sigma$  be an I/P alphabet . The RE over  $\Sigma$  can be defined as follows:

- $\emptyset$  is a regular expression.
- $\epsilon$  is a regular expression.
- For any  $a$  in  $\Sigma$ ,  $a$  is a regular expression.
- If  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are regular expressions, then
- $(r_1 + r_2)$  is a regular expression.
- $(r_1 . r_2)$  is a regular expression.
- $(r_1^*)$  is a regular expression.
- $(r_1^+)$  is a regular expression.

**WRITE RES FOR THE FOLLOWING LANGUAGES:**

- Accepting all combinations of a's over the set  $\Sigma=\{a\}$

Ans:  $a^*$

- Accepting all combinations of a's over the set  $\Sigma=\{a\}$  except null string

Ans:  $a^+$

- Accepting any no of a's and b's

Ans:  $(a+b)^*$  or  $(a/b)^*$

- Strings ending with 00 over the set  $\{0,1\}$

Ans:  $(0+1)^*00$

- Strings starts with 1 and ends with 0 over the set  $\{0,1\}$

Ans:  $1(0/1)^*1$

- Any no of a's followed by any no of b's then followed by any no of c's

Ans:  $a^*b^*c^*$

- starting and ending with a and having any combination of b's in between.

Ans:  $a b^* b$

- Starting with a but not having consecutive b's.

Ans:  $L = \{a, aba, aab, aba, aaa, abab, \dots\}$

$R = \{a + ab\}^*$

- The language accepting all the string in which any number of a's is followed by any number of b's is followed by any number of c's.

Ans:  $R = a^* b^* c^*$

- The language over  $\Sigma = \{0\}$  having even length of the string.

Ans:  $R = (00)^*$

- For the language L over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$  such that all the string do not contain the substring 01.

Ans: The Language is as follows:  $L = \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 11, 10, 100, \dots\}$

$R = (1^* 0^*)$

- For the language containing the string over  $\{0, 1\}$  in which there are at least two occurrences of 1's between any two occurrences of 1's between any two occurrences of 0's.

Ans:  $(0111^*0)^*$ .

Similarly, if there is no occurrence of 0's, then any number of 1's are also allowed. Hence the r.e. for required language is:

$$R = (1 + (0111^*0))^*$$

- The regular expression for the language containing the string in which every 0 is immediately followed by 11.

**Ans:**  $R = (011 + 1)^*$

- String which should have at least one 0 and at least one 1.

**Ans:**  $R = [(0 + 1)^* 0 (0 + 1)^* 1 (0 + 1)^*] + [(0 + 1)^* 1 (0 + 1)^* 0 (0 + 1)^*]$

- Describe the language denoted by following regular expression  $(b^* (aaa)^* b^*)^*$

**Ans:** The language consists of the string in which a's appear triples, there is no restriction on the number of b's.

### Algebraic laws for Regular Expressions

- Given R, P, L, Q as regular expressions, the following identities hold:

- $\emptyset^* = \epsilon, \epsilon^* = \epsilon$

- $RR^* = R^*R = R^+$

- $(R^*)^* = R^*$

- $(PQ)^*P = P(QP)^*$

- $(P+Q)^* = (P^*Q^*)^* = (P^*+Q^*)^*$

- $R + \emptyset = \emptyset + R = R$  (The identity for union)

- $R\epsilon = \epsilon R = R$  (The identity for concatenation)

- $\emptyset R = R\emptyset = \emptyset$  (The annihilator for concatenation)

- $R + R = R$  (Idempotent law)

- $P(Q+R) = PQ+PR$  (Left distributive law)

- $(Q+R)P = QP+RP$  (Right distributive law)

- $\epsilon + RR^* = \epsilon + R^*R = R^*$

### ARDEN'S THEOREM

Statement: Let B and C are two regular expressions. If C does not contain null string, then  $A=B+AC$  has a unique solution  $A=BC^*$

Proof: Given that B and C are two regular expressions and C does not contain null string

Case(i): Let us verify whether  $A=BC^*$  is a solution of  $A=B+AC$

Substitute  $A = BC^*$  in the above equation

$$A = B + AC$$

$$A = B + BC^*C = B(\epsilon + C^*C) = BC^* \quad \text{since } \epsilon + CC^* = C^*$$

$$BC^* = BC^*$$

$$\text{LHS} = \text{RHS} \implies$$

Therefore  $A = BC^*$  is a solution of  $A = B + AC$

Case (ii): Let us PT  $A = BC^*$  is a unique solution of  $A = B + AC$

$$A = B + AC$$

$$= B + (B + AC)C = B + BC + AC^2$$

$$= B + BC + (B + AC)C = B + BC + BC^2 + AC^3$$

$$= B + BC + BC^2 + BC^3 + AC^4$$

$$= B(\epsilon + C + C^2 + C^3 + \dots)$$

$$= BC^*$$

Therefore  $A = BC^*$  is a unique solution


**Note:** Assumptions for Applying Arden's Theorem

- The transition diagram must not have NULL transitions
- It must have only one initial state.

**Using Arden's theorem to construct RE from FA**

- If there are  $n$  number of states in the FA then we will get  $n$  number of equations.
- The equations are constructed in the following way:
- State name = state name from which inputs are coming. Input symbol .i.e.,  $a_{ji}$  represents the transition from  $q_j$  to  $q_i$  then  $q_i = a_{ji} \cdot q_j$
- If  $q_j$  is a start state then we have:
- $q_i = a_{ji}^* q_j + \epsilon$
- Solve the above equations to obtain final state which contains input symbols only.

**Ex: Construct the regular expression for the given DFA**



### Solution:

Let us write down the equations  $q_1 = q_1 0 + \epsilon$

Since  $q_1$  is the start state, so  $\epsilon$  will be added, and the input 0 is coming to  $q_1$  from  $q_1$  hence we write State = source state of input  $\times$  input coming to it

Similarly,  $q_2 = q_1 1 + q_2 1$   $q_3 = q_2 0 + q_3 (0+1)$

Since the final states are  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , we are interested in solving  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  only. Let us see  $q_1$  first  $q_1 = q_1 0 + \epsilon$

We can re-write it as  $q_1 = \epsilon + q_1 0$

Which is similar to  $R = Q + RP$ , and gets reduced to  $R = QP^*$ .

Assuming  $R = q_1$ ,  $Q = \epsilon$ ,  $P = 0$  We get  $q_1 = \epsilon.(0)^* q_1 = 0^* (\epsilon.R^* = R^*)$

Substituting the value into  $q_2$ , we will get

$q_2 = 0^* 1 + q_2 1$   $q_2 = 0^* 1 (1)^*$  ( $R = Q + RP \rightarrow QP^*$ )

The regular expression is given by

$r = q_1 + q_2 = 0^* + 0^* 1.1^*$   $r = 0^* + 0^* 1^+ (1.1^* = 1^+)$

**Construction of FA from RE:** There are two methods to construct FA from RE. They are i) Top down approach and ii) Bottom up approach.


Top down Approach:

This is divided into several steps as given in the following.

Step-1: Take two states, one is the beginning state and another is the final state. Make a transition from the beginning state to the final state and place the RE in between the beginning and final states



Step-2: If in the RE there is a + (union) sign, then there are parallel paths between the two states...




Step-3: If in the RE there is a  $.$  (dot) sign, then one extra state is added between the two states.



Step-4: If in the RE there is a  $*$  (closure) sign, then a new state is added in between. A loop is added on the new state and the label  $\Lambda$  is put between the first to new and new to last.

Ex: Construct Finite Automata equivalent to the Regular Expression  $L = ab(aa + bb)(a + b)^* b$ .


Step I: Take a beginning state  $q_0$  and a final state  $q_f$ . Between the beginning and final state place the regular expression.



Step II: There are three dots ( $.$ ) between  $ab$ ,  $(aa + bb)$ ,  $(a + b)^*$ , and  $b$ . Three extra states are added between  $q_0$  and  $q_f$ .




Step III: Between 'a' and 'b' there is a dot ( $.$ ), so extra state is added




Step IV: In  $aa + bb$  there is a +, therefore there is parallel edges between  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ . Between  $q_2$  and  $q_3$  there is  $(a + b)^*$ . So, extra state  $q_5$  is added between  $q_2$  and  $q_3$ . Loop with label  $a, b$  is placed on  $q_5$  and


$\Lambda$  transition is made between  $q_2, q_5$  and  $q_5, q_3$ .



Step V: In  $aa$  and  $bb$  there are dots (.). Thus two extra states are added between  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  (one for  $aa$  and another  $bb$ ). The final finite automata for the given regular expression is given below.



Ex: Construct an FA equivalent to the RE:  $L = (a + b)^*(aa + bb)(a + b)^*$ .



your roots to success...

Ex: Construct an FA equivalent to the RE:  $L = ab + (aa + bb)(a + b)^* b$ .




your roots to success...




**BOTTOM-UP APPROACH (THOMSON CONSTRUCTION):**

**Step-1: For input  $a \in \Sigma$ , the transition diagram is**




**Finite automata for RE = a**

**Step-2: If  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are two RES then the transition diagram for the RE  $r_1 + r_2$  is**



**Finite automata for RE = (a+b)**


**Step-3: If  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are two RES then the transition diagram for the RE  $r_1 \cdot r_2$  is**



**Finite automata for RE = ab**

your roots to success...

**Step-4: If  $r$  is a RE then the transition diagram for  $r^*$  is**



**Finite automata for RE=  $(a+b)^*$**

**Ex:** Construct Finite Automata equivalent to the Regular Expression  $L = ab(aa + bb)(a + b)^*a$ .

**Solution:**


Step I: The terminal symbols in  $L$  are 'a' and 'b'. The transition diagrams for 'a' and 'b' are given below:



Step II: The transition diagrams for 'aa', 'ab', 'bb' are given below




Step III: The transition diagram for  $(a+b)$  is given below




Step IV: The transition diagram for  $(a+b)^*$  is given below


your roots to success...



Step V: For  $(aa+bb)$  the transitional diagram is given below



Step VI: The constructed transitional diagram for  $ab(aa+bb)$  is given below



Step VII: The constructed transitional diagram for  $ab(aa+bb)(a+b)^*a$  is given below. This can be simplified by removing  $\epsilon$  transitions

### EQUIVALENCE OF TWO RES


For every RE there is a finite automata. If the FA constructed both of the REs are same then we can say that two REs are equivalent

Ex: Prove that the following REs are equivalent.  $L1 = (a + b)^*$   $L2 = a^*(b^*a)^*$



Solution:

Construct FA for L1:


your roots to success...



Construct FA for L2:



q<sub>5</sub> is merged  
merged with  
are h



your roots to success...

The two FAs are same. Hence the Res are also same.

Ex: Prove that the following REs are equivalent.  $L1 = 1^*(011)^*(1^*(011)^*)^*$   $L2 = (1 + 011)^*$

### Grammars:

The grammar is basically defined as a set of 4-tuple (V, T, P, S)

where

V is a set of non-terminals (variables), T is a set of terminals (primitive symbols), P is a set of productions (rules) that relate the non-terminals and terminals and S is the start symbol with which strings in grammar are derived. These productions define the strings belonging to the corresponding language.

**Production Rule:** The production rules of grammar consist of two parts. i) LHS and ii) RHS. The LHS may contain terminal or non-terminal or both but at least one non-terminal. The RHS may contain any combination of terminal or non-terminal or both or epsilon.



**NRCM**

your roots to success...

**Language Acceptance:** Start with the start symbol, at every step, and replace the non-terminal by the right-hand side (RHS) of the rule. Continue this until a string of terminals is derived. The string of terminals gives the language accepted by grammar.

### Types of Grammars–Chomsky Hierarchy:

Linguist Noam Chomsky defined a hierarchy of languages, in terms of complexity. This four-level hierarchy, called the Chomsky hierarchy, corresponds to four classes of machines. Each higher level in the hierarchy incorporates the lower levels, that is, anything that can be computed by a machine at the lowest level can also be computed by a machine at the next highest level.

The Chomsky hierarchy classifies grammar according to the form of their productions into the following levels:

- **Type 0 grammars–unrestricted grammars:** These grammars include all formal grammars. In unrestricted grammars (URGs), all the productions are of the form  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  may have any number of terminals and non-terminals, that is, no restrictions on either side of productions. Every grammar is included in it if it has at least one non-terminal on the left-hand side (LHS). They generate exactly all languages that can be recognized by a Turing machine. The language that is recognized by a Turing machine is defined as a set of all the strings on which it halts. These languages are also known as recursively enumerable languages.

Ex:

$$aA \rightarrow abCB$$

$$aA \rightarrow bAA$$

$$bA \rightarrow a$$

$$S \rightarrow aAb \mid \epsilon$$

- **Type 1 grammars–context-sensitive grammars:** These grammars define the context-sensitive languages. In context-sensitive grammar (CSG), all the productions are of the form  $\alpha A \beta \rightarrow \alpha \gamma \beta$  where the length of  $\alpha$  is less than or equal to the length of  $\beta$  i.e.  $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  may have any number of terminals and non-terminals.

These grammars can have rules of the form  $\alpha A \beta \rightarrow \alpha \gamma \beta$  with  $A$  as non-terminal and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  are strings of terminals and non-terminals. We can replace  $A$  by  $\gamma$  where  $A$  lies between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Hence the name CSG. The strings  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  may be empty, but  $\gamma$  must be non-empty. It cannot include the rule  $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ . These languages are exactly all languages that can be recognized by linear bound automata.

Ex:  $aAbcD \rightarrow abcDbcD$

- **Type 2 grammars – context-free grammars:** These grammars define context-free languages. These are defined by rules of the form  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  with  $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$  where  $|\alpha| = 1$  and is a non-terminal and  $\beta$  is a string of terminals and non-terminals. We can replace  $\alpha$  by  $\beta$  regardless of where it appears. Hence the name context-free grammar (CFG). These languages are exactly those languages that can be recognized by a pushdown automaton. Context-free languages define the

Ex:

$$1. S \rightarrow aS \mid Sa \mid a$$

$$2. S \rightarrow aAA \mid bBB \mid \epsilon$$

- **Type 3 grammars – regular grammars:** These grammars generate regular languages. Such a grammar restricts its rules to a single non-terminal on the LHS. The RHS consists of either a single terminal or a string of terminals with a single nonterminal on the left or right end. Here rules can be of the form  $A \rightarrow aB \mid a$  or  $A \rightarrow Ba \mid a$ .

The rule  $S \rightarrow \epsilon$  is also allowed here. These languages are exactly those languages that can be recognized by a finite state automaton. This family of formal languages can be obtained by regular expressions also. Regular languages are used to define search patterns and the lexical structure of programming languages.


Right linear grammar:  $A \rightarrow aA \mid a$

Left linear grammar:  $A \rightarrow Aa \mid a$

**Table 1.1 Chomsky's hierarchy**

Grammar	Languages	Automaton	Production rules
Type 0	Recursively enumerable	Turing machine	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ No restrictions on b, a should have At least one non-terminal
Type 1	Context-sensitive	Linear bounded automata	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta,  \alpha  \leq  \beta $
Type 2	Context-free	Pushdown automaton	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta,  \alpha  \leq  \beta ,  \alpha  = 1$
Type 3	Regular	Finite state automaton	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta, \alpha = \{V\}$ and $\beta = V\{T\}^*$ or $\{T\}^*V$ or $T^*$

The hierarchy of languages and the machine that can recognize the same is shown below.



Every RG is context-free, every CFG is context-sensitive and every CSG is unrestricted. So the family of regular languages can be recognized by any machine. CFLs are recognized by pushdown automata, linear bound automata, and Turing machines. CSLs are recognized by linear bound automata and Turing machines. Unrestricted languages are recognized by only Turing machines.

### REGULAR GRAMMAR

- A regular grammar is a mathematical object,  $G$ , with four components,  
 $G = (N, T, P, S)$ , where
- $N$  is a nonempty, finite set of nonterminal symbols,
- $T$  is a finite set of terminal symbols, or alphabet, symbols,
- $P$  is a set of grammar rules, each of one having one of the forms  $A \rightarrow aB$   $A \rightarrow a$   $A \rightarrow \epsilon$ , for  $A, B \in N$ ,  $a \in \Sigma$ , and  $\epsilon$  the empty string, and
- $S \in N$  is the start symbol.

### RE TO RG CONVERSION

- Step 1: Construct an equivalent FA for the given RE
- Step 2: The no of non-Terminals of the grammar will be equal to the no of states of FA
- Step 3: For all transition functions (a) if  $\delta(q_i, a)=q_j$  is not in  $F$  then the production is of the form  $A \rightarrow aB$  (b) if  $\delta(q_i, a)=q_j$  is in  $F$  then the productions are of the form  $A \rightarrow aB$  and  $A \rightarrow a$ , where  $A$  &  $B$  are corresponding to states  $q_i$  and  $q_j$  respectively.
- Step 4: The start symbol of the grammar corresponding to the initial state of finite automata

**Ex: Construct Regular grammar for the RE  $a^*(a+b)b^*$**

RG for the above RE is

$A \rightarrow aA/aB/bB/a/b$

$B \rightarrow bB/b$



your roots to success...



**Ex: Construct RG for the RE  $ab(a+b)^*$**

RG is

$A \rightarrow aB$

$B \rightarrow bC/b$

$C \rightarrow aC/bC/a/b$

### PUMPING LEMMA FOR RLS

- The pumping lemma is generally used to prove certain languages are not regular
- Language is said to be regular: If a DFA, NFA or epsilon NFA can be constructed to exactly accept a language
- If a RE can be constructed to exactly generate the strings in a language.

### Formal Definition of Pumping Lemma:

- if L is a regular language represented with automaton with maximum of n states, then there is a word in L such that the length  $|Z| \geq n$ , we may write  $Z=UVW$  in such a way that  $|UV| < n$ ,  $|V| \geq 1$ , and for all  $i \geq 0$ ,  $UV^iW$  is in L.
- **Ex: Prove that  $L = \{a^i b^i \mid i \geq 0\}$  is not regular.**

At first, we assume that L is regular and n is the number of states.

Let  $z = aabb = uvw$

Where  $u=a$ ,  $v=ab$ ,  $w=b$

When  $i=0$ ,  $uv^i w = uw = ab$  is in L

When  $i=1$ ,  $uv^i w = uvw = aabb$  is in L

When  $i=2$ ,  $uv^i w = uv^2 w = aababb$  is not in L

Hence L is not Regular

**Ex: State whether  $L = \{a^{2n} \mid n > 0\}$  is regular.**

**Ex: State whether  $L = \{0^n \mid n \text{ is a prime}\}$  is regular**

**Ex: State whether  $L = \{a^n \mid n \geq 0\}$  is regular**

**Ex: State whether  $L = \{a^n b^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$  is regular**

your roots to success...

## CLOSURE PROPERTIES OF RLS

### 1) Context-free languages are closed under

**Union:** Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be two context-free languages. Then  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is also context free.

#### Example

- Let  $L_1 = \{ a^n b^n, n > 0 \}$ . Corresponding grammar  $G_1$  will have P:  $S_1 \rightarrow aAb | ab$
- Let  $L_2 = \{ c^m d^m, m \geq 0 \}$ . Corresponding grammar  $G_2$  will have P:  $S_2 \rightarrow cBb | \epsilon$
- Union of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ ,  $L = L_1 \cup L_2 = \{ a^n b^n \} \cup \{ c^m d^m \}$
- The corresponding grammar  $G$  will have the additional production  $S \rightarrow S_1 | S_2$

**Concatenation:** If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context free languages, then  $L_1 L_2$  is also context free.

**Example:** Union of the languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ ,  $L = L_1 L_2 = \{ a^n b^n c^m d^m \}$

The corresponding grammar  $G$  will have the additional production  $S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$

**Kleene Star:** If  $L$  is a context free language, then  $L^*$  is also context free.

#### Example

- Let  $L = \{ a^n b^n, n \geq 0 \}$ . Corresponding grammar  $G$  will have P:  $S \rightarrow aAb | \epsilon$
- Kleene Star  $L_1 = \{ a^n b^n \}^*$
- The corresponding grammar  $G_1$  will have additional productions  $S_1 \rightarrow SS_1 | \epsilon$

**Context-free languages are not closed under Intersection:** If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context free languages, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is not necessarily context free.

**Intersection with Regular Language** – If  $L_1$  is a regular language and  $L_2$  is a context free language, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is a context free language.

**Complement** – If  $L_1$  is a context free language, then  $L_1'$  may not be context free


your roots to success...

## Part-A

- Define Regular Expression
- Write a regular expression for the language accepting all the strings in which any number of a's is followed by any number of b's is followed by any number of c's.
- State ARDEN'S THEOREM
- State and prove ARDEN'S theorem
- Define Regular Grammar
- State pumping lemma for CFL

## PART-B

- Construct the regular expression for the given DFA



- Construct an FA equivalent to the RE:  $L = (a + b)^*(aa + bb)(a + b)^*$ .
- : Construct Finite Automata equivalent to the Regular Expression  $L = ab(aa + bb)(a + b)^*a$  using bottom-up approach.
- Construct Regular grammar for the RE  $a^*(a+b)b^*$
- Applications of pumping lemma
- Closure Properties of CFLs

your roots to success...

### Assignments

1. Prove that  $e + 0^*(1)^*(0^*(1)^*)^* = (0 + 1)^*$
2. List out a few applications of regular expressions and finite automata.
3. Construct a NFA that accepts the following languages:
  - a.  $L(aa^* + aba^*b^*)$
  - b.  $L(ab(a + ab)^*(a + aa))$
  - c.  $L(ab^*aa + bba^*ab)$




your roots to success...

- d.  $L(0^* + 1^*2^*)$
- e.  $L(10 + (0 + 11)0^*1)$
- f.  $L((a + ba)^*bb(b + a)^*)$


4.

Find the regular expression for the DFA



5.

Find the regular expression for the DFA



your roots to success...

### UNIT-3: CONTEXT FREE GRAMMARS (CFG)

**Def:**

A grammar  $G=(V,T,P,S)$  is said to be CFG if all productions in Pare of the form  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  Where  $\alpha$  is in  $V$  , i.e., set of non-terminals and  $|\alpha| = 1$ , i.e., there will be only one non-terminal at the left hand side (LHS) and  $\beta$  is in  $V \cup \Sigma$ , i.e.,  $\beta$  is a combination of non-terminals and terminals.

**Ex: Construct a CFG for the language  $L = \{WCW^R \mid W \in (a, b)^*\}$**

**Ans:**  $S \rightarrow aSa/bSb/C$

**Ex: Construct a CFG for the regular expression  $(0 + 1)^* 0 1^*$ .**

**Ans:**

$S \rightarrow ASB/0 \quad A \rightarrow 0A/1A/\epsilon \quad B \rightarrow 1B/\epsilon$

**Ex:Construct a CFG for the regular expression  $(011 + 1)^* (01)^*$ .**

**Ans:**

$S \rightarrow BC \quad B \rightarrow AB/\epsilon \quad A \rightarrow 011/1 \quad C \rightarrow DC/ \epsilon \quad D \rightarrow 01$

**Ex: Construct CFG for defining palindrome over  $\{a , b\}$ .**

**Ans:**  $S \rightarrow aSa/bSb/a/b/\epsilon$

**Ex: Construct CFG for the set of strings with equal number of a's and b's.**

**Ans:**  $S \rightarrow SaSbS /SbSaS/\epsilon$

**Ex:** Write the language generated by the grammar  $S \rightarrow SaSbS /SbSaS/\epsilon$

**Ex:** Write the language generated by the grammar  $S \rightarrow aSa/bSb/a/b/\epsilon$

**Ex:** Write the language generated by the grammar  $S \rightarrow aSa/bSb/C$

your roots to success...

**DERIVATION AND PARSE TREE:**

- **Derivation:** The process of generating a language from the given production rules of a grammar. The non-terminals are replaced by the corresponding strings of the right hand side (RHS) of the production. But if there are more than one non-terminal, then which of the ones will be replaced must be determined. Depending on this selection, the derivation is divided into two parts:
- **Leftmost derivation:** A derivation is called a leftmost derivation if we replace only the leftmost non-terminal by some production rule at each step of the generating process of the language from the grammar.
- **Rightmost derivation:** A derivation is called a rightmost derivation if we replace only the right- most non-terminal by some production rule at each step of the generating process of the language from the grammar.

**Ex: Derive  $a^4$  from by grammar  $S \rightarrow aS / \epsilon$**

$S \Rightarrow aS \Rightarrow aaS \Rightarrow aaaS \Rightarrow aaaaS \Rightarrow aaaa\epsilon = aaaa$

The language has the strings  $\{\epsilon, a, aa, aaa, \dots\}$ .

**Ex: Derive  $a^2$  from by grammar  $S \rightarrow SS / a / \epsilon$**

Ans:  $S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow Sa \Rightarrow aa$  (or)

$S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow SSS \Rightarrow SSa \Rightarrow SSSa \Rightarrow SaSa \Rightarrow \epsilon aSa \Rightarrow \epsilon a \epsilon a = aa$

**Ex: Find  $L(G)$  and derive the string  $abbab$  for the following grammar?**

$S \rightarrow aS / bS / a / b$

Solution:

$S \Rightarrow aS \Rightarrow abS \Rightarrow abbS \Rightarrow abbaS \Rightarrow abbab$

Context free language generated by the grammar is  $(a + b)^+$ .

**Ex: Find the language and derive  $abbaaba$  from the following grammar:**

$S \rightarrow XaaX \quad X \rightarrow aX \mid bX \mid \epsilon$

Solution:

CFL is  $(a + b)^*aa(a + b)^*$ .

We can derive  $abbaaba$  as follows:

$S \Rightarrow XaaX \Rightarrow aXaaX \Rightarrow abXaaX \Rightarrow abbXaaX \Rightarrow abb\epsilon aaX = abbaaX \Rightarrow abbaabX \Rightarrow abbaabaX$   
 $\Rightarrow abbaabae \Rightarrow abbaaba$

**Ex: Give the language defined by grammar  $G = \{\{S\}, \{a\}, \{S \rightarrow SS\}, S\}$**

Ans:  $L(G) = \Phi$ . Since there is no terminal that is derived from S.

**Ex: Give the language defined by grammar**

$G = \{ \{S, C\}, \{a, b\}, P, S \}$  where P is given by  $S \rightarrow aCa, C \rightarrow aCa \mid b,$

Ans:  $S \Rightarrow aCa \Rightarrow aaCaa \Rightarrow aaaCaaa$

$L(G) = \{ a^n b a^n \mid n \geq 1 \}.$

**Ex: Give the language defined by grammar  $G = \{ \{S\}, \{0, 1\}, P, S \}$  where P is given by**

$S \rightarrow 0S1 \mid \epsilon$

Ans:  $S \Rightarrow 0S1 \Rightarrow 00S11 \Rightarrow 0011.$

$L(G) = \{ 0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0 \}.$

- **Construct the string 0100110 from the following grammar by using (i) Leftmost derivation (ii) Rightmost derivation**

$S \rightarrow 0S/1AA, A \rightarrow 0/1A/0B, B \rightarrow 1/0BB,$

Ans: **Leftmost Derivation**

$S \Rightarrow 0\underline{S} \Rightarrow 01\underline{AA} \Rightarrow 010\underline{BA} \Rightarrow 0100\underline{BBA} \Rightarrow 01001\underline{BA} \Rightarrow 010011\underline{A} \Rightarrow 0100110$

(The non-terminals that are replaced are underlined.)

**Rightmost Derivation**

$S \Rightarrow 0\underline{S} \Rightarrow 01\underline{AA} \Rightarrow 01\underline{A}0 \Rightarrow 010\underline{B}0 \Rightarrow 0100\underline{BB}0 \Rightarrow 0100\underline{B}10 \Rightarrow 0100110$

(The non-terminals that are replaced are underlined.)

**Ex: Consider the CFG  $(\{S, X\}, \{a, b\}, P, S)$  where productions are  $S \rightarrow baXaS \mid ab, X \rightarrow Xab \mid aa.$  Find LMD and RMD for string  $w = baaaababaab.$**

Solution: The following is a LMD:

$S \Rightarrow baXaS \{ \text{as } S \rightarrow baXaS \}$   
 $\Rightarrow baXabaS \{ \text{as } X \rightarrow Xab \}$   
 $\Rightarrow baXababaS \{ \text{as } X \rightarrow Xab \}$   
 $\Rightarrow baaaababaS \{ \text{as } X \rightarrow aa \}$   
 $\Rightarrow baaaababaab \{ \text{as } S \rightarrow ab \}$

The following is a RMD:

$S \Rightarrow baXaS \{ \text{as } S \rightarrow baXaS \}$   
 $\Rightarrow baXaab \{ \text{as } S \rightarrow ab \}$   
 $\Rightarrow baXabaab \{ \text{as } X \rightarrow Xab \}$   
 $\Rightarrow baXababaab \{ \text{as } X \rightarrow Xab \}$   
 $\Rightarrow baaaababaab \{ \text{as } X \rightarrow aa \}$

Any word that can be generated by a given CFG can have LMD|RMD.

**Ex: Consider the CFG:  $S \rightarrow aB \mid bA, A \rightarrow a \mid aS \mid bAA, B \rightarrow b \mid bS \mid aBB.$  Find LMD and RMD for (the string)  $w = aabbabba.$**

Ans: The following is a LMD:





$S \Rightarrow aB \Rightarrow aaBB \Rightarrow aabSB \Rightarrow aabbAB \Rightarrow aabbaB \Rightarrow aabbabS \Rightarrow aabbabbA \Rightarrow aabbabba$

The following is a RMD:

$S \Rightarrow aB \Rightarrow aaBB \Rightarrow aaBbS \Rightarrow aaBbbA \Rightarrow aaBbba \Rightarrow aabSbba \Rightarrow aabbAbba \Rightarrow aabbabba$

**PARSE TREE:**

- A parse tree is the tree representation of deriving a CFL from a given context free grammar. These types of trees are sometimes called as derivation trees.
- A parse tree is an ordered tree in which the LHS of a production represents a parent node and the RHS of a production represents a children node.
- **Note:** The parse tree construction is possible only for CFG.

**Procedure to Construct Parse Tree:**

- Each vertex of the tree must have a label. The label is a non-terminal or terminal or null ( $\epsilon$ ).
- The root of the tree is the start symbol, i.e., S.
- The label of the internal vertices is a non-terminal symbol.
- If there is a production  $A \rightarrow X_1X_2...X_k$ , then for a vertex label A, the children of that node will be  $X_1, X_2, .. X_k$ .
- A vertex n is called a leaf of the parse tree if its label is a terminal symbol or null ( $\epsilon$ ).

**Ex: Find the parse tree for generating the string 0100110 from the following grammar.**

$S \rightarrow 0S/1AAA \rightarrow 0/1A/0B \quad B \rightarrow 1/0BB$

**For generating the string 0100110 from the given CFG**


The Left Most Derivation (LMD) will be  $S \rightarrow 0S \rightarrow 01AA \rightarrow 010BA \rightarrow 0100BBA \rightarrow 01001BA \rightarrow 010011A \rightarrow 0100110$  and the derivation tree is called Left Most Derivation Tree(LMD Tree)

The Right Most Derivation (RMD) will be  $S \rightarrow 0S \rightarrow 01AA \rightarrow 01A0 \rightarrow 010B0 \rightarrow 0100BB0 \rightarrow 0100B10 \rightarrow 0100110$  and the derivation tree is called Right Most Derivation Tree(RMD Tree).

**LMD AND RMD TREES:**

Find the parse tree for generating the string 0100110 from the following grammar.

your roots to success...



Left Most Derivation Tree

Right Most Derivation Tree

**Ex: Construct a parse tree for the string aabbbaa from the following grammar.**

$S \rightarrow a/aAS, A \rightarrow SS/SbA/ba$

**Solution:** For generating the string from the given grammar, the derivation will be

$S \Rightarrow aAS \Rightarrow aSbAS \Rightarrow aabAS \Rightarrow aabbaS \Rightarrow aabbbaa$

The derivation tree is given in Fig

**AMBIGUOUS GRAMMAR:** The different parse trees generated from the different derivations may be the same or may be different.

A grammar of a language is called ambiguous if any of the cases for generating a particular string, more than one parse tree(LMD Tree. RMD Tree) can be generated.

**Procedure to test ambiguous Grammar:** Grammar will be given. Consider a string which produces two derivation trees to prove that the grammar is ambiguous.

**Ex: Prove that the following grammar is ambiguous.**


P:  $S \rightarrow E + E / E * E / id$

Let us take a string  $id + id * id$ .

The string can be generated in the following ways.

Derivation (i):  $S \Rightarrow S + S \Rightarrow S + S * S \Rightarrow id + S * S \Rightarrow id + id * S \Rightarrow id + id * id$

Derivation (ii):  $S \Rightarrow S * S \Rightarrow S + S * S \Rightarrow id + S * S \Rightarrow id + id * S \Rightarrow id + id * id$



The parse trees for derivation (i) and (ii) are shown below.

**Ex: Consider the Grammar G with productions:  $S \rightarrow aS \mid Sa \mid a$ . Show that G is ambiguous.**

**Ans: Consider the string  $w=aa$**




LMD Tree RMD Tree


LMD Tree  $\neq$  RMD Tree. Hence the grammar is ambiguous

**Ex: The grammar G for PALINDROMES is  $S \rightarrow aSa \mid bSb \mid a \mid b \mid \epsilon$ . Check if G is ambiguous.**

**Ans: Consider the string  $w=babbab$ .**



**LMD Tree**




**RMD Tree**

**LMD Tree=RMD Tree. Hence the grammar is unambiguous**

**Ex: Check whether the following grammar is ambiguous or not.**

$S \rightarrow i C t S \mid i C t S e S \mid a, C \rightarrow b$

**Ans: Consider the string  $w=ibtibtaea$**



**LMD Tree**

**RMD Tree**

**LMD Tree!=RMD Tree. Hence the grammar is ambiguous**

**Ex: Consider the Grammar G with productions:  $S \rightarrow aS \mid aSb \mid X, X \rightarrow Xa \mid a$**

**Show that G is ambiguous.**

**Ans: Consider the string  $w=aa$**

your roots to success...



**LMD Tree**



**RMD Tree**

**LMD Tree! = RMD Tree. Hence the grammar is ambiguous**

**SIMPLIFICATION OF CONTEXT-FREE GRAMMAR:**

- CFG can be simplified in the following three processes.
- Removal of useless symbols
  - ✓ Removal of non-generating symbols
  - ✓ Removal of non-reachable symbols
- Removal of unit productions
- Removal of null productions

**Removal of useless symbols**

Useless symbols are of two types:

- **Non-generating symbols** are those symbols which do not produce any string of terminals. Remove those productions whose productions contain those symbols.
- **Non-reachable symbols** are those symbols which cannot be reached at any time starting from the start symbol.
- Dependency graph can be drawn to identify the symbols that are reachable. To draw dependency graph all non-terminals are indicated as nodes for each production  $A \rightarrow x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  place an edge from A to  $x_i$  where  $x_i$  is non terminal. The set of nodes that have path from start node indicate the non-terminals that are reachable.

**Ex: Eliminate useless symbols and productions from the following grammar**


$S \rightarrow ABa \mid BC, A \rightarrow aC \mid BCC, C \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow bcc, D \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow d, F \rightarrow e$

**Ans:**

**Step 1: Eliminate non-generating symbols:**

All variables are found to be generating as each of them derive a terminal.

### Step 2: Elimination of non-reachable variables: Draw the dependency graph



From the above graph, D, E and F are non-reachable from S. Hence remove all the productions which contain non-reachable variables. Therefore the simplified grammar is

$$S \rightarrow ABa \mid BC, A \rightarrow aC \mid BCC, C \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow bcc$$

**Ex: Eliminate useless symbols in the following grammar G:**  $S \rightarrow BC \mid AB \mid CA, A \rightarrow a, C \rightarrow aB \mid b$

Ans: Here B is not defined; hence it is non-generating symbol. C and A are reachable and are deriving terminals. Hence, C and A are useful. The reduced grammar is  $S \rightarrow CA, A \rightarrow a, C \rightarrow b$ .

The non-terminals A and C are reachable from S. Hence the simplified grammar is  $S \rightarrow CA, A \rightarrow a, C \rightarrow b$ .

**Ex: Eliminate useless symbols in the given G:**  $S \rightarrow aAa, A \rightarrow bBB, B \rightarrow ab, C \rightarrow a b$

Solution: Here all the variables are generating symbols.

C is not reachable from start symbol S. Hence remove it.

So the reduced grammar is  $S \rightarrow aAa, A \rightarrow bBB, B \rightarrow ab$ ,

**Ex: Eliminate useless symbols in the following grammar G:**  $S \rightarrow aS \mid A \mid BC, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow aa,$

$C \rightarrow a Cb$

Ans: Here C is useless, as it is not deriving any string. B is not reachable.

So the reduced grammar is  $S \rightarrow aS \mid A, A \rightarrow a$

**Ex: Remove the useless symbols from the given CFG**

$S \rightarrow AC \mid S \rightarrow BA \mid C \rightarrow CB \mid C \rightarrow ACA \rightarrow aB \rightarrow aC/b$

#### To find Non-Generating Symbols:

In the above grammar, C is a non-generating symbol since it does not generate a string with terminals. Hence, eliminate the productions which contain the symbol C. Therefore,  $S \rightarrow BA, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b$

#### To find Non-Reachable Symbols:

The symbols which cannot be reached at any time starting from the start symbol. There is no non-reachable symbol in the grammar. So, the minimized form of the grammar by removing useless symbols is  $S \rightarrow BA, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b$ .



**REMOVAL OF UNIT PRODUCTIONS:**

- Production in the form non-terminal  $\rightarrow$  single non-terminal is called unit production.
- **Ex:** Remove the unit production from the following grammar.  $S \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow b, E \rightarrow a$

The productions are  $A \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow C,$  and  $C \rightarrow D.$

From  $B \rightarrow C,$  and  $C \rightarrow D$  we will get  $B \rightarrow D$

Therefore,  $S \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow b, E \rightarrow a$

From  $A \rightarrow E$  and  $E \rightarrow a,$  we get  $A \rightarrow a$

From  $B \rightarrow D$  and  $D \rightarrow b$  we get  $B \rightarrow b$

Therefore,  $S \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b, D \rightarrow b, E \rightarrow a$

In the above productions, D and E are non-Reachable variables. Hence eliminate D and E.

Therefore, the simplified grammar is  $S \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b$

**REMOVAL OF NULL PRODUCTIONS**

- A production in the form  $NT \rightarrow \epsilon$  is called null production.
- If  $\epsilon$  (null string) is in the language set generated from the grammar, then that null production cannot be removed.
- That is, if we get,  $S \rightarrow \epsilon,$  then that null production cannot be removed from the production rules.

**Procedure to Remove Null Production**

*Step-1: Construct  $V_n,$  the set of all nullable variables*

*Step-2: For each production  $B \rightarrow A,$  if A is a nullable variable, replace the nullable variable A by  $\epsilon,$  and add, all possible combinations of strings on the RHS of production.*

*Step-3: Do not add the production  $A \rightarrow \epsilon$*

**Ex: Remove the  $\epsilon$ - production from the following grammar.  $S \rightarrow aA, A \rightarrow b/\epsilon$**

$A \rightarrow \epsilon$  is a null production. Therefore A is nullable variable.

$V_n = \{A\}$

Consider  $S \rightarrow aA.$

Replace A by  $\epsilon$  we get  $S \rightarrow a$

Add  $S \rightarrow a$  to  $S \rightarrow aA$

Therefore, the simplified grammar is  $S \rightarrow aA/a, A \rightarrow b$

In the above grammar, no null productions, no unit productions, and no useless symbols.

Hence the grammar is simplified

Ex: Eliminate the null production from the following grammar

$S \rightarrow ABaC, A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow b/\epsilon, C \rightarrow D/\epsilon, D \rightarrow d$

$V_n = \{A, B, C\}$

Consider  $S \rightarrow ABaC$ . Replace  $A$  or  $B$  or  $C$  or  $AB$  or  $AC$  or  $BC$  or  $ABC$  by  $\epsilon$ . Then we get  
 $S \rightarrow ABaC/BaC/AaC/ABa/aC/Aa/Ba/a$ .

Similarly,  $A \rightarrow BC/B/C, B \rightarrow b, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow d$

Eliminate Unit Productions, then we get

$S \rightarrow ABaC/BaC/AaC/ABa/aC/Aa/Ba/a, A \rightarrow BC/d/b, B \rightarrow b,$

### **NORMAL FORM**

- For a grammar, the RHS of a production can be any string of terminals and non-terminals
- A grammar is said to be in normal form when every production of the grammar has some specific form.
- That means, instead of allowing any no of terminals and non-terminals on the RHS of the production, we permit only specific members on the RHS of the production.
- Two types of normal forms: (a) CNF (Chomsky Normal Form) and (b) GNF (Greibach Normal Form)

### **CNF: CHOMSKY NORMAL FORM**

- A CFG is said to be in CNF if all the productions of the grammar are in the following form.
- Non-terminal  $\rightarrow$  String of exactly two non-terminals
- Non-terminal  $\rightarrow$  Single terminal

Ex:  $A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow b, C \rightarrow c$

### **PROCEDURE TO CONVERT CFG IN TO CNF:**

1. Eliminate null productions and unit productions. i.e., simplify the grammar
2. Include productions of the form  $A \rightarrow BC/a$  as it is.
3. Eliminate strings of terminals on the right-hand side of production if they exceed one as follows: Suppose we have the production  $S \rightarrow a_1a_2a_3$  where  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  are terminals then introduce non-terminal  $C_{ai}$  for terminal  $a_i$  as  $C_{a1} \rightarrow a_1, C_{a2} \rightarrow a_2, C_{a3} \rightarrow a_3$
4. To restrict the number of variables on the right-hand side, introduce new variables and separate them as follows:  
Suppose we have the production with  $n$  non-terminals as shown below with 5 non-terminals



$Y \rightarrow X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5$

Add n-2 new productions using n-2 new non-terminals and modify the production as in the following:

$Y \rightarrow X_1 R_1$

$R_1 \rightarrow X_2 R_2$

$R_2 \rightarrow X_3 R_3$

$R_3 \rightarrow X_4 X_5$  where the  $R_i$  are new non-terminals.

The language generated by the new CFG is the same as that generated by the original CFG.

**Ex: Convert the following grammar into CNF.  $S \rightarrow bA/aB, A \rightarrow bAA/aS/a, B \rightarrow aBB/bS/a$**

Step1: The Grammar is minimized.

Step2: The productions  $A \rightarrow a$  and  $B \rightarrow a$  are in CNF. Hence leave the productions as it is.

Step 3: The productions  $S \rightarrow bA, S \rightarrow aB, A \rightarrow bAA, A \rightarrow aS, B \rightarrow aBB, B \rightarrow bS$  are not in CNF. So, we have to convert these into CNF.

Let us replace terminal 'a' by a non-terminal  $C_a$  and terminal 'b' by a non-terminal  $C_b$ .

Hence, two new productions  $C_a \rightarrow a$  and  $C_b \rightarrow b$  will be added to the grammar

By replacing a and b by new non-terminals and including the two productions, the modified grammar will be

$S \rightarrow C_bA/C_aB, A \rightarrow C_bAA/C_aS/a, B \rightarrow C_aBB/C_bS/a, C_a \rightarrow a, C_b \rightarrow b$

In the modified grammar, all the productions are not in CNF.

The productions  $A \rightarrow C_bAA$  and  $B \rightarrow C_aBB$  are not in CNF, because they contain more than two non-terminals at the RHS.

Let us replace AA by a new non-terminal D and BB by another new non-terminal E.

Hence, two new productions  $D \rightarrow AA$  and  $E \rightarrow BB$  will be added to the grammar.

So, the new modified grammar will be

$S \rightarrow C_bA/C_aB$

$A \rightarrow C_bD/C_aS/a$

$B \rightarrow C_aE/C_bS/a$

$D \rightarrow AA$

$E \rightarrow BB$

$C_a \rightarrow a$

Cb → b

It is in CNF

**Ex: Convert following CFG to CNF:**

$S \rightarrow AB \mid aB$

$A \rightarrow aab \mid \epsilon$

$B \rightarrow bbA$

**Ex: Convert following CFG to CNF.**

$S \rightarrow bA \mid aB$

$A \rightarrow bAA \mid aS \mid a$

$B \rightarrow aBB \mid bS \mid b$

**Ex: Convert following CFG to CNF.**

$S \rightarrow ASB \mid \epsilon$

$A \rightarrow aAS \mid a$

$B \rightarrow SbS \mid A \mid bb$

### LEFT RECURSION AND LEFT FACTORING:

- **Left Recursion:** A context-free grammar is called left recursive if a non-terminal 'A' as a leftmost symbol on the right side of a production.  $A \rightarrow Aa$
- In other words, a grammar is left recursive if it has a non-terminal 'A' such that there is a derivation.
- $A \Rightarrow Aa$  for some string a
- There are two types of left recursion
- i) Direct Left Recursion                      ii) Indirect Left Recursion

### DIRECT LEFT RECURSION:

Let the grammar be  $A \rightarrow A\alpha/\beta$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  consists of terminal and/or non-terminals but  $\beta$  does not start with A.

### Elimination of Left Recursion:

For the production  $A \rightarrow A\alpha/\beta$ , the equivalent grammar after removing the left recursion is  $A \rightarrow \beta A^1, A^1 \rightarrow \alpha A^1/\epsilon$

In general, for a grammar in the form

$$A \rightarrow A\alpha_1 / A\alpha_2 / \dots / A\alpha_n / \beta_1 / \beta_2 / \dots / \beta_n$$

The equivalent productions are

$$A \rightarrow \beta_1 A^1 / \beta_2 A^1 / \dots / \beta_n A^1$$

$$A^1 \rightarrow \alpha_1 A^1 / \alpha_2 A^1 / \dots / \alpha_n A^1 / \epsilon$$

**Ex: Remove the left recursion from the following grammar.**

$$E \rightarrow E + T \mid T, T \rightarrow T * F \mid F, F \rightarrow \text{id} \mid (E)$$

In the grammar there are two immediate left recursions  $E \rightarrow E + T$  and  $T \rightarrow T * F$ .

For  $E \rightarrow E + T$ , the equivalent productions are  $E \rightarrow TE^1$  and  $E^1 \rightarrow + TE^1 / \epsilon$

For  $T \rightarrow T * F$ , the equivalent productions are  $T \rightarrow FT^1$ ,  $T^1 \rightarrow * FT^1 / \epsilon$

The CFG after removing the left recursion becomes

$$E \Rightarrow TE^1$$

$$E^1 \Rightarrow + TE^1 / \epsilon$$

$$T \rightarrow FT^1$$

$$T^1 \rightarrow * FT^1 / \epsilon$$

$$F \rightarrow \text{id} \mid (E)$$

### INDIRECT LEFT RECURSION:

A grammar of the form  $A_1 \rightarrow A_2 a / b, A_2 \rightarrow A_1 c / d$  is called indirect left recursion.

Convert indirect left recursion in to direct left recursion and then apply the elimination of direct left recursion.

Consider  $A_2 \rightarrow A_1 c / d$



your roots to success...

Then  $A_2 \rightarrow A_2ac/bc/d$ . it is in the direct left recursion. Eliminate Direct left recursion  
 $A_2 \rightarrow bcA_2^1 / dA_2^1$ ,  $A_2^1 \rightarrow ac A_2^1 / \epsilon$

### LEFT FACTORING:

**A production rule of the form  $A \rightarrow \alpha\beta_1/\alpha\beta_2/\dots/\alpha\beta_n$  is called left factoring.**

After left factoring, the previous grammar is transformed into:

$$A \rightarrow \alpha A_1, \quad A_1 \rightarrow \beta_1/\beta_2/\dots/\beta_n$$

**Ex:** Left Factor the following grammar.

$$A \rightarrow \underline{a}bB \mid \underline{a}B \mid cdg \mid cdeB \mid cdfB$$

The left factored grammar is

$$A \rightarrow aA^1 / cdA^2$$

$$A^1 \rightarrow bB/B$$

$$A^2 \rightarrow g/eB/fB$$

### GREIBACH NORMAL FORM

- A grammar is said to be in GNF if every production of the grammar is of the form
- Non-terminal  $\rightarrow$  (single terminal)(non-terminal)\*i.e. terminal followed by any combination of NTs including null.

#### Lemma I: Substitution Rule:

- Let G be a CFG.
- If  $A \rightarrow Ba$  and  $B \rightarrow b_1/b_2/\dots/b_n$  belongs to the production rules (P) of G, then a new grammar will  $A \rightarrow b_1a/b_2a/\dots/b_na$

#### Lemma II: Elimination of Left Recursion

- Let G be a CFG.
- If  $A \rightarrow Aa_1/Aa_2/\dots/Aa_m/b_1/b_2/\dots/b_n$  belongs to P of G, then equivalent grammar is

$$A \rightarrow b_1 A^1/b_2 A^1/\dots/b_n A^1 / b_1/b_2/\dots/b_n$$

$$A^1 \rightarrow a_1 A^1/a_2 A^1/\dots/a_m A^1 / a_1/a_2/\dots/a_m$$

### PROCESS FOR CONVERSION OF A CFG INTO GNF

- **Step I:** The given grammar is in CNF
- **Step II:** Rename the non-terminals as  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  with  $A_1=S$
- **Step III:** we need productions must be in the form that the RHS of productions must start with a terminal or with higher indexed variable.

For each production  $A_i \rightarrow A_j a$

- (i) if  $i < j$  leave the production as it is.
- (ii) if  $i = j$  then apply lemma2 (Elimination of Left Recursion)
- (iii) if  $i > j$  then apply lemma1. (Apply Substitution Rule)

- **Step IV:** For each production  $A_i \rightarrow A_j a$  where  $i < j$  apply substitution rule.

The resulting productions of the modified grammar will come into GNF.

**Ex: Convert the following grammar in to GNF.  $S \rightarrow AA/a, A \rightarrow SS/b$**

- **Step I:** There are no unit productions and no null production in the grammar. The given grammar is in CNF.
- **Step II:** In the grammar, there are two non-terminals S and A. Rename the non-terminals as A1 and A2 respectively. The modified grammar will be  $A1 \rightarrow A2A2/a, A2 \rightarrow A1A1/b$
- **Step III:** In the grammar,  $A2 \rightarrow A1A1$  is not in the form  $A_i \rightarrow A_j a$  where  $i < j$

Apply substitution rule Therefore,  $A2 \rightarrow A2A2A1/aA1/b$

On the above production apply Lemma II,

$$A2 \rightarrow aA1X/bX/aA1/b, X \rightarrow A2A1X/A2A1$$

The modified grammar  $A1 \rightarrow A2A2/a, A2 \rightarrow aA1X/bX/aA1/b, X \rightarrow A2A1X/A2A1$

- **Step IV:** apply substitution rule on  $A1 \rightarrow A2A2/a$

Therefore,  $A1 \rightarrow aA1XA2/bXA2/aA1A2/bA2/a$

Apply substitution rule on  $X \rightarrow A2A1X/A2A1$

Therefore,  $X \rightarrow aA1XA1X/bXA1X/aA1A1X/bA1X/ aA1XA1/bXA1/aA1A1/bA1$

The modified grammar is

$$A1 \rightarrow aA1XA2/bXA2/aA1A2/bA2/a$$

$$A2 \rightarrow aA1X/bX/aA1/b$$

$$X \rightarrow aA1XA1X/bXA1X/aA1A1X/bA1X/ aA1XA1/bXA1/aA1A1/bA1$$

The above grammar is in GNF

**Ex: Convert the following grammar in to GNF.**

$$S \rightarrow XA \mid BB$$

$$B \rightarrow b \mid SB$$

$$X \rightarrow b$$

$$A \rightarrow a$$

**Ex: Convert the CFG to GNF**

$S \rightarrow AB A$

$A \rightarrow aA \mid \epsilon$

$B \rightarrow bB \mid \epsilon$

### CLOSURE PROPERTIES OF CONTEXT-FREE LANGUAGES

- A set is closed (under an operation) if and only if the operation on two elements of the set produces another element of the set. If an element outside the set is produced, then the operation is not closed.
- **CFL are closed under Union.**
- If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are two context free languages, their union  $L_1 \cup L_2$  will also be context free.
- Ex:  $L_1 = \{ a^n b^n c^m \mid m \geq 0 \text{ and } n \geq 0 \}$  and  $L_2 = \{ a^n b^m c^m \mid n \geq 0 \text{ and } m \geq 0 \}$   
 $L_1 \cup L_2 = \{ a^n b^n c^m \cup a^n b^m c^m \mid n \geq 0, m \geq 0 \}$  is also context free.  
 $L_1$  says number of a's should be equal to number of b's and  $L_2$  says number of b's should be equal to number of c's. Their union says either of two conditions to be true. So it is also context free language.
- **CFL are closed under Concatenation**
- If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are two context free languages, their concatenation  $L_1.L_2$  will also be context free.
- Ex:  $L_1 = \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$  and  $L_2 = \{ c^m d^m \mid m \geq 0 \}$   
 $L_3 = L_1.L_2 = \{ a^n b^n c^m d^m \mid m \geq 0 \text{ and } n \geq 0 \}$  is also context free.
- $L_1$  says number of a's should be equal to number of b's and  $L_2$  says number of c's should be equal to number of d's. Their concatenation says first number of a's should be equal to number of b's, then number of c's should be equal to number of d's. So, we can create a PDA which will first push for a's, pop for b's, push for c's then pop for d's. So it can be accepted by pushdown automata, hence context free.
- **CFL are closed under Kleene Closure**

If  $L_1$  is context free, its Kleene closure  $L_1^*$  will also be context free. For example,  
 $L_1 = \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$

$L_1^* = \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}^*$  is also context free.

- **CFL are not closed under Intersection**

Consider two languages  $L_1 = \{ a^{n+1} b^{n+1} c^n, \text{ where } n, l \geq 0 \}$  and  $L_2 = \{ a^n b^n c^{n+k}, \text{ where } n, k \geq 0 \}$ .

Consider  $L = L_1 \cap L_2$

So,  $L = a^{n+1} b^{n+1} c^n \cap a^n b^n c^{n+k} = a^n b^n c^n, \text{ where } n \geq 0.$

$a^n b^n c^n$  is a context sensitive language not a context free. As one instance is proved not to be context free then we can decide that context free languages are not closed under intersection.

### **CFL are not closed under Intersection and Complementation.**

From the set theory, we can prove  $L1 \cap L2 = L1 \cup L2$ . (D' Morgan's Law) If the union of the complements of  $L1$  and  $L2$  are closed, i.e., also context free, then the LHS will also be context free. But we have proved that  $L1 \cap L2$  is not context free. We are getting a contradiction here. So, CFLs are not closed under complementation.

### **PUMPING LEMMA FOR CFL**

Let  $L$  be a CFL. Then, we can find a natural number  $n$  such that 1) Every  $z \in L$  where  $|z| \geq n$  and  $z$  can be written as  $z = uvwxy$ , for some strings  $u, v, w, x, y$  |  $|vx| \geq 1$  ii)  $|vwx| \leq n$  and  $uv^iwx^iy \in L$  for all  $i \geq 0$

**Note:** Method to test a language is CFL or not.

- **Step I:** Assume that  $L$  is context free. Find a natural number such that  $|z| \geq n$ .
- **Step II:** So, we can write  $z = uvwxy$  for some strings  $u, v, w, x, y$ .



your roots to success...

- **Step III:** Find a suitable  $k$  such that  $uv^kwx^ky$  is not in  $L$ . This is a contradiction, and so  $L$  is not context free.

**Ex: Using Pumping Lemma, Show that  $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \text{ where } n \geq 1\}$  is not CFL**

The given language is  $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \text{ where } n \geq 1\}$

$L = \{ab, aabbcc, aaabbbccc, \dots\}$

Let  $z = aabbcc = uvwxy$

Where  $u = a, v = a, w = b, x = b, y = cc$

When  $i = 0$ ,  $uv^iwx^iy = uwy = abcc$  is not in  $L$ , Therefore  $L$  is not a CFL

**Ex: ST  $L = \{a^p : p \text{ is a prime number}\}$  is not CFL**

**Ex: Prove that the language  $L = \{a^{i^2} : i \geq 1\}$  is not context free.**

### APPLICATIONS OF CONTEXT-FREE GRAMMAR

- The compiler is a program that takes a program written in the source language as input and translates it into an equivalent program in the target language.
- Syntax analysis is an important phase in the compiler design.
- In this phase, mainly grammatical errors called syntax errors are checked. The syntax analyzer (parser) checks whether a given source program satisfies the rules implied by context-free grammar or not.
- If it satisfies, the parser creates the parse tree of that program. Otherwise, the parser gives the error messages
- CFGs are used in speech recognition and also in processing spoken words.

**Ex1:**

In C language, an identifier is described by the following CFG.

- The definition of an identifier in a programming language is

Letter  $\rightarrow A | B | \dots | Z | a | b | \dots | z$

Digit  $\rightarrow 0 | 1 | \dots | 9$  id  $\rightarrow$  letter (letter | digit)\*

### ASSIGNMENT-3

1. Construct a CFG for the following
  - a) Set of strings of 0 and 1 where consecutive 0 can occur but no consecutive 1 can





Your roots to success...

occur.

- b) Set of all (positive and negative) odd integers.
- c) Set of all (positive and negative) even integers.
- 2. Check whether the following grammar is ambiguous or not.

$S \rightarrow a/Sa/bSS/SSb/SbS, W=baababaa$

- 3. Simplify the following CFG.

$S \rightarrow AaB/aaB, A \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow bbA/\epsilon, D \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow F, F \rightarrow As$

- 4. Convert the following grammar into CNF.

$E \rightarrow E + T/T, T \rightarrow (E)/a$

- 5. Convert the following grammar into GNF.

$S \rightarrow A, A \rightarrow aBa/a, B \rightarrow bAb/b$



your roots to success...


## Unit-4: Push-Down Automata

### Limitations of FA:

- The memory capability of Finite Automata is very limited.
- It can memorize the current input symbol.
- It cannot memorize previously processed symbols.
- Hence, by adding memory concept to FA, we will get Push down Automata.
- PDA is the same as Finite Automata with the attachment of an auxiliary amount of storage as a stack.

### Block Diagram of PDA:

- A PDA consists of four components:
- 1) An input tape, 2) a reading head, 3) a finite control and 4) a stack.
- **Input tape:** The input tape contains the input symbols. The tape is divided into a number of squares. Each square contains a single input character. The string placed in the input tape is traversed from left to right. The two end sides of the input string contain an infinite number of blank symbols.
- **Reading head:** The head scans each square in the input tape and reads the input from the tape. The head moves from left to right. The input scanned by the reading head is sent to the finite control of the PDA.



- **Finite control:** The finite control can be considered as a control unit of a PDA. An automaton always resides in a state. The reading head scans the input from the input tape and sends it to the finite control. A two-way head is also added with the finite control to the stack top. Depending on the input taken from the input tape and the input from the stack top, the finite control decides in which state the PDA will

move and which stack symbol it will push to the stack or pop from the stack or do nothing on the stack.

- **Stack:** A stack is a temporary storage of stack symbols. Every move of the PDA indicates one of the following to the stack
- **Push:** One stack symbol may be added to the stack
- **Pop:** One stack symbol may be deleted from the top of the stack. In the stack, there is always a symbol  $z_0$  which denotes the bottom of the stack.

- **Def: Push Down Automata**

A PDA consists of a 7-tuple  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, z_0, F)$ , Where

$Q$ : Finite set of states.

$\Sigma$ : Finite set of input symbols.

$\Gamma$ : Finite set of stack symbols.

$\delta: Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \times \Gamma^* \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma^*$  is a Transition function  $q_0$ : Initial state of the PDA.

$z_0$ : Stack bottom symbol.  $F$ : Final state of the PDA.

- PDA has 2 alphabets:
  - a) An input alphabet  $\Sigma$
  - b) A stack alphabet  $\Gamma$

Moves on PDA: A move on PDA may indicate:

- An element may be added to the stack  $(q, a, b) = (q, ab)$
- An element may be deleted from the stack:  $(q, a, b) = (q, \epsilon)$  and
- There may or may not be a change of state.

- $\delta(q, a, b) = (q, ab)$  indicates that in the state  $q$  on seeing  $a$ ,  $a$  is pushed onto the stack. There is no change of state.

- $\delta(q, a, b) = (q, \epsilon)$  indicates that in the state  $q$  on seeing  $a$  the current top symbol  $b$  is deleted from the stack.

- $\delta(q, a, b) = (q_1, ab)$  indicates that  $a$  is pushed onto the stack and the state is changed to  $q_1$ .

### GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF PDA:

- Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F)$  be a PDA where  $Q = \{p, q\}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\Gamma = \{a, b\}$ ,  $q_0 = q$ ,  $F = \{p\}$ , and  $\delta$  is given by the following equations:

$$\delta(q, a, z_0) = \{(q, az_0)\} \quad /*Push*/$$

$$\delta(q, b, z_0) = \{(q, bz_0)\} \quad /*Push*/$$

$$\delta(q, a, a) = \{(q, aa)\}$$

$$\delta(q, b, a) = \{(q, ba)\}$$

$$\delta(q, a, b) = \{(q, ab)\}$$

$$\delta(q, b, b) = \{(q, bb)\}$$

$$\delta(q, c, z_0) = \{(p, z_0)\} \quad /* Neither Push nor Pop*/$$

$$\delta(q, c, a) = \{(p, a)\}$$

$$\delta(q, c, b) = \{(p, b)\}$$

$$\delta(p, a, a) = \{(p, \epsilon)\} \quad /*Pop*/$$


$$\delta(p, b, b) = \{(p, \epsilon)\} \quad /*pop*/$$

$$a, \epsilon \rightarrow a$$

$$b, \epsilon \rightarrow b$$

$$a, a \rightarrow \epsilon$$

$$b, b \rightarrow \epsilon$$



### INSTANTANEOUS DESCRIPTION OF PDA:

- During processing, the PDA moves from one configuration to another configuration.

At any given instance the configuration of PDA is expressed by the current state, the

input symbol, and the content of stack.

- The configuration is expressed as a triple  $(q, x, y)$ , where
  - q- current state.
  - x - input string to be processed.
  - y- is the content of the stack where the leftmost symbol corresponds to top of stack, and the rightmost is the bottom element.

**Ex:** When string ababcbcb is processed, the instantaneous description is as shown below.

$\delta(q, ababcbab, z_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(q, babcbab, az_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(q, abcbab, baz_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(q, bcbab, abaz_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(q, cbab, babaz_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(p, bab, babaz_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(p, ab, abaz_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(p, b, baz_0)$

$\Rightarrow (p, \epsilon, az_0)$


**LANGUAGE ACCEPTANCE BY PDA:**

A language can be accepted by a PDA using two approaches:

1. **Acceptance by final state:** The PDA accepts its input by consuming it and finally it enters the final state.
2. **Acceptance by empty stack:** On reading the input string from the initial configuration for some PDA, the stack of PDA becomes empty.

**Design a PDA which accepts the language  $L = \{a^n b^n / n \geq 1\}$**

- **Transition Diagram**





Your roots to success...


- **Transition functions**

$\delta(q_0, a, Z_0) = \{(q_0, aZ_0)\}$  /\*Push a\*/

$\delta(q_0, a, a) = \{(q_0, aa)\}$  /\*Push a\*/

$\delta(q_0, b, a) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}$  /\* Pop a and change the state\*/

$\delta(q_1, b, a) = \{(q_1, \epsilon)\}$  /\*Pop a\*/



your roots to success...


$\delta(q_1, \epsilon, Z_0) = \{(q_f, Z_0)\}$  /\*change to final state and halt\*/

### LANGUAGE ACCEPTANCE BY PDA:

Test whether the string aaabbb is accepted or not using

(a) Stack Empty Method (b) Final State Method

#### Stack Empty Method:





**LANGUAGE ACCEPTANCE BY PDA:**

**Final State Method**

$\delta(q_0, aaabbb, Z_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(q_0, aabbb, aZ_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(q_0, abbb, aaZ_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(q_0, bbb, aaaZ_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(q_1, bb, aaZ_0)$


$\Rightarrow \delta(q_1, b, aZ_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(q_1, \epsilon, Z_0)$

$\Rightarrow \delta(q_f, \epsilon, Z_0)$

$\Rightarrow$  string is accepted as PDA reached to final state and string is empty.

**Ex: Design a PDA which accepts equal number of a's and b's over  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ .**



$\delta(q_0, a, Z_0) = (q_0, aZ_0)$

$\delta(q_0, b, Z_0) = (q_0, bZ_0)$

$\delta(q_0, a, a) = (q_0, aa)$

$\delta(q_0, b, b) = (q_0, bb)$

$\delta(q_0, a, b) = (q_0, \epsilon)$


$\delta(q_0, b, a) = (q_0, \epsilon)$

$\delta(q_0, \epsilon, Z_0) = (q_f, Z_0)$


your roots to success...



Consider a string abbaa



Ex: Design a PDA that accepts  $L = \{0^n 1^{2n} / n \geq 1\}$



$$\delta(q_0, 0, Z_0) = (q_0, 0Z_0)$$

$$\delta(q_0, 0, 0) = (q_0, 00)$$


$$\delta(q_0, 1, 0) = (q_1, 0)$$

$$\delta(q_1, 1, 0) = (q_2, \epsilon)$$

$$\delta(q_2, 1, 0) = (q_1, 0)$$

$$\delta(q_2, \epsilon, Z_0) = (q_f, Z_0)$$

Ex: Design a PDA that accepts  $L = \{a^3b^n c^n / n \geq 0\}$



$$\delta(q_0, a, Z_0) = (q_1, Z_0)$$

$$\delta(q_1, a, Z_0) = (q_2, Z_0)$$

$$\delta(q_2, a, Z_0) = (q_3, Z_0)$$

$$\delta(q_3, \epsilon, Z_0) = (q_f, Z_0)$$

$$\delta(q_3, b, Z_0) = (q_4, bZ_0)$$

$$\delta(q_4, b, b) = (q_4, bb)$$

$$\delta(q_4, c, b) = (q_5, \epsilon)$$

$$\delta(q_5, c, b) = (q_5, \epsilon)$$

$$\delta(q_5, \epsilon, Z_0) = (q_f, Z_0)$$

# IRCM

your roots to success...

Ex: Design a PDA that accepts  $L = \{wcw^r / w \text{ is in } (a+b)^*\}$

Ex: Design a PDA which accepts  $L = \{WW^R \mid W \text{ is in } (a+b)^*\}$

**Transition Diagram**

$a, Z_0/aZ_0$


$b, Z_0/bZ_0$

$a, a/aa$

$a, b/ab$

$b, b/bb$

$b, a/ba$



**Transition Functions**

- $\delta(q_0, a, Z_0) = (q_0, aZ_0)$
- $\delta(q_0, b, Z_0) = (q_0, bZ_0)$
- $\delta(q_0, a, a) = (q_0, aa)$
- $\delta(q_0, a, a) = (q_1, \epsilon)$
- $\delta(q_0, b, b) = (q_0, bb)$
- $\delta(q_0, b, b) = (q_1, \epsilon)$
- $\delta(q_0, a, b) = (q_0, ab)$
- $\delta(q_0, b, a) = (q_0, ba)$
- $\delta(q_1, a, a) = (q_1, \epsilon)$

$\delta(q_1, b, b) = (q_1, \epsilon), \delta(q_1, \epsilon, Z_0) = (q_f, Z_0)$

your roots to success...

**CONSTRUCTION OF PDA FROM CFG:**

- **Step 1** – Convert the productions of the CFG into GNF.
- **Step 2** – The PDA will have only one state {q}.
- **Step 3** – the start symbol of CFG will be the start symbol in the PDA.
- **Step 4** – All non-terminals of the CFG will be the stack symbols of the PDA and all the terminals of the CFG will be the input symbols of the PDA.
- **Step 5** – For each production in the form  $A \rightarrow aX$  make a transition  $\delta(q, a, A)=(q, X)$ .
- **Step 6**- For each production in the form  $A \rightarrow a$  make a transition  $\delta(q, a, A)=(q, \epsilon)$ .

**Ex:** Convert the following CFG in to PDA  $S \rightarrow aAA, A \rightarrow aS/bS/a$

Sol: The grammar is in GNF

For  $S \rightarrow aAA$ :  $\delta(q, a, S)=(q, AA)$ .

For  $A \rightarrow aS$  :  $\delta(q, a, A)=(q, S)$ .

For  $A \rightarrow bS$  :  $\delta(q, b, A)=(q, S)$

For  $A \rightarrow a$  :  $\delta(q, a, A)=(q, \epsilon)$ .

For  $A \rightarrow aX$  :  $\delta(q, a, A)=(q, X)$ .

For  $A \rightarrow a$  :  $\delta(q, a, A)=(q, \epsilon)$

The Equivalent PDA:

$\delta(q, a, S)=(q, AA)$ .

$\delta(q, a, A)=(q, S)$ .

$\delta(q, b, A)=(q, S)$

$\delta(q, a, A)=(q, \epsilon)$

**CONSTRUCTING CFG FOR GIVEN PDA**

- To convert the PDA to CFG, we use the following three rules:
- R1: The productions for start symbol S are given by  $S \rightarrow [q_0, Z_0, q]$  for each state q in Q.
- R2: Each move that pops a symbol from stack with transition as  $\delta(q, a, Z_i) = (q_1, \epsilon)$  induces a production as  $[q, Z_i, q_1] \rightarrow a$  for  $q_1$  in Q.
- R3: Each move that does not pop symbol from stack with transition as  $\delta(q, a, Z_0) = (q, Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4 \dots)$  induces a production as  $[q, Z_0, q_m] \rightarrow a[q_1, Z_1 q_2] [q_2, Z_2 q_3] [q_3, Z_3 q_4] [q_4, Z_4 q_5] \dots [q_{m-1}, Z_m q_m]$  for each  $q_i$  in Q, where  $1 < i < m$ .

- After defining all the rules, apply simplification of grammar to get reduced grammar

**Ex: Give the equivalent CFG for the following PDA**  $M = \{q_0, q_1\}, \{a, b\}, \{Z, Z_0\}, \delta, q_0, Z_0$   
 where  $\delta$  is defined by

$$\delta(q_0, b, Z_0) = (q_0, ZZ_0) \quad \delta(q_0, \epsilon, Z_0) = (q_0, \epsilon) \quad \delta(q_0, b, Z) = (q_0, ZZ)$$

$$\delta(q_0, a, Z) = (q_1, Z) \quad \delta(q_1, b, Z) = (q_1, \epsilon) \quad \delta(q_1, a, Z_0) = (q_0, Z_0)$$

**Solution:** The states are  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ , and the stack symbols are  $Z$  and  $Z_0$ .

The states are  $\{S, [q_0, Z_0, q_0], [q_0, Z_0, q_1], [q_1, Z_0, q_0], [q_1, Z_0, q_1], [q_0, Z, q_0], [q_0, Z, q_1], [q_1, Z, q_0], [q_1, Z, q_1]\}$ . S-Productions are given by Rule 1

$$S \rightarrow [q_0, Z_0, q_0] \mid [q_0, Z_0, q_1]$$

- (1) The CFG for  $\delta(q_0, b, Z_0) = (q_0, ZZ_0)$  is obtained by rule 3

$$[q_0, Z_0, q_0] \rightarrow b [q_0, Z, q_0] [q_0, Z_0, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, Z_0, q_0] \rightarrow b [q_0, Z, q_1] [q_1, Z_0, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, Z_0, q_1] \rightarrow b [q_0, Z, q_0] [q_0, Z_0, q_1]$$

$$[q_0, Z_0, q_1] \rightarrow b [q_0, Z, q_1] [q_1, Z_0, q_1]$$

- (2) The CFG for  $\delta(q_0, \epsilon, Z_0) = (q_0, \epsilon)$  is obtained by rule 2  $[q_0, Z_0, q_0] \rightarrow \epsilon$

- (3) The CFG for  $\delta(q_0, b, Z) = (q_0, ZZ)$  is obtained by rule 3

$$[q_0, Z, q_0] \rightarrow b [q_0, Z, q_0] [q_0, Z, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, Z, q_0] \rightarrow b [q_0, Z, q_1] [q_1, Z, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, Z, q_1] \rightarrow b [q_0, Z, q_0] [q_0, Z, q_1]$$

$$[q_0, Z, q_1] \rightarrow b [q_0, Z, q_1] [q_1, Z, q_1]$$

- (4) The CFG for  $\delta(q_0, a, Z) = (q_1, Z)$  is obtained by rule 3

$$[q_0, Z, q_0] \rightarrow a [q_1, Z, q_0]$$

$$[q_0, Z, q_1] \rightarrow a [q_1, Z, q_1]$$

- (5) The CFG for  $\delta(q_1, b, Z) = (q_1, \epsilon)$  is obtained by rule 2

$$[q_1, Z, q_1] \rightarrow b$$

- (6) The CFG for  $\delta(q_1, a, Z_0) = (q_0, Z_0)$  is obtained by rule 2

$$[q_1, Z_0, q_0] \rightarrow a [q_0, Z_0, q_0]$$

## Unit-5: Turing Machine

### Limitations of Finite State Machine/Finite Automata:


- Can remember only current symbol
- Cannot remember previous long sequence of input

### Limitations of Pushdown Automata:

- It uses stack to remember any long input sequence
- Accepts a larger class of languages than that of FA, Computation power is limited
- To overcome the above limitations, Alan Turing has proposed a model called a Turing Machine(TM) with a two-way infinite tape. The tape is divided into cells, each of which can hold only one symbol. The input of the machine is a string  $w=w_1w_2w_3...w_n$  initially written on the left most portion of the tape, followed by an infinite sequence of blanks B.
- The machine is able to move a read/write head left and right over the tape as it performs computation. It can read and write symbols on the tape as it pleases.



### BLOCK DIAGRAM OF TURING MACHINE



It is a simple mathematical model of a general purpose computer. It is capable of performing any calculation which can be performed by any computing machine. Hence this

model is popularly known as “Turing Machine”.

### FEATURES OF TM:

- It has external memory which remembers arbitrarily long sequence of input.
- It has unlimited memory capability.
- The model has a facility by which the input at left or right on the tape can be read easily.
- The machine can produce certain output based on its input. In this machine there is no distinction between input and output symbols.
- The TM can be thought of as a finite state automata connected to a read or write head,
- It has one tape which is divided into a number of cells. Each cell can store only one symbol.
- The read or write head can examine one cell at a time.
- In one move the machine examine the present symbol under the head on the tape and present state of an automaton to determine:
  - A new symbol should be written on the tape in the cell under the head
  - The head moves one cell either left(L) or right(R), The next state of the automata.
  - Whether to halt or not.

### DEF: TURING MACHINE:

- A TM is expressed as a 7-tuple  $(Q, T, B, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where:
  - **Q**-finite set of states
  - **T** -tape alphabet (symbols which can be written on Tape)
  - **B**  $\in T$  -blank symbol (every cell is filled with B except input alphabet initially)
  - $\Sigma$  -the input alphabet (symbols which are part of input alphabet)
  - $\delta : Q \times T \rightarrow Q \times T \times \{L,R\}$  transition function which maps.
  - **q<sub>0</sub>** -the initial state
  - **F** -the set of final states.

### INSTANTANEOUS DESCRIPTION OF TM

- ID of TM is  $(l,q,r)$  where
- l- tape contents left to the head of TM

- r- tape contents right to the head of TM including the symbol under head and
- q- current state

**Moves:** At any given time the move of TM depends on i) Current state and ii) input symbol i.e.,  $(q,a)$ . the o/p of move would be  $(q_1, b, L)$  Where  $q_1$  = next state,  $b$ = symbol to be replaced by a and  $L$ = move left one symbol.

Ex:  $\delta(q_i,a) = (q_j,b,L)$  i.e., in the state  $q_i$  on receiving a symbol  $a$ , then change to a new state  $q_j$ , replace  $a$  by  $b$  and the move left.

### Acceptance or Rejection by TM:


- Let us assume the final Configuration of TM is  $(u,q,w)$
- Accept: If  $q \in F$
- Reject: If  $q \notin F$  and /or next moves are not defined/loops
- If either accept or reject then TM halts(Stops)

### TM as Language Acceptor:

- M accepts  $w$  iff the execution of M on  $w$  terminating and ends in the accepting state
- M rejects  $w$  iff the execution of M on  $w$  terminating and ends in the non accepting state
- M does not accept  $w$  iff M rejects or M loops on  $w$ ,

Ex: Write IDs for the following TM

$\delta(q_0,a) = (q_0,X,R)$ ,  $\delta(q_0,b) = (q_0,b,R)$ ,  $\delta(q_0,B) = (q_1,B,L)$ ,  $\delta(q_1,b) = (q_1,Y,L)$ ,  $\delta(q_1,X) = (q_1,X,L)$ ,  $\delta(q_1,B) = (q_2,B,H)$  and string  $w=abba$ .



- Where  $l=ab$

$r=abb$

Current state= $q$

$l=abb$

$r=bb$

current state= $q^1$





B	B	B	a	b	b	a	B	B	B
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Current state= q0

B	B	B	X	b	b	a	B	B	B
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Current state= q0

B	B	B	X	b	b	a	B	B	B
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Current state= q0

B	B	B	X	b	b	a	B	B	B
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Current state= q0

B	B	B	X	b	b	X	B	B	B
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Current state= q0

B	B	B	X	b	b	X	B	B	B
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Current state= q1

B	B	B	X	b	b	X	B	B	B
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Current state= q1

B	B	B	X	b	Y	X	B	B	B
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Current state= q1

B	B	B	X	Y	Y	X	B	B	B
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Current state= q1

your roots to success...

B	B	B	X	Y	Y	X	B	B	B
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---


Current state= q1

$\delta(q1, B) = (q2, B, H)$


- **Representation of TM:** A TM can be represented by means of Transition Table and Transition diagram.
- **Representation of TM using Transition Table:** The Transition table for the above TM is as given below.

$\delta$	a	b	X	Y	B
q <sub>0</sub>	(q <sub>0</sub> ,X,R)	(q <sub>0</sub> ,b,R)	--	-	(q <sub>1</sub> ,B,L)
q <sub>1</sub>	--	(q <sub>1</sub> ,Y,L)	(q <sub>1</sub> ,X,L)	-	(q <sub>2</sub> ,B,H)


**Ex: Construct a TM for language consisting of strings having any no of b's and even no of a's defined over {a,b}.**



**Design a TM to accept strings formed with 0 and 1 and having substring 000**



**Ex: Design TM for Multiplication of two integers**



	0	1	x	B
→q <sub>0</sub>	(q <sub>1</sub> , B, R)	(q <sub>6</sub> , B, R)	---	---
q <sub>1</sub>	(q <sub>1</sub> , 0, R)	(q <sub>2</sub> , 1, R)	---	---
q <sub>2</sub>	(q <sub>3</sub> , x, R)	(q <sub>5</sub> , 1, L)	---	---
q <sub>3</sub>	(q <sub>3</sub> , 0, R)	(q <sub>3</sub> , 1, R)	---	(q <sub>4</sub> , 0, L)
q <sub>4</sub>	(q <sub>4</sub> , 0, L)	(q <sub>4</sub> , 1, L)	(q <sub>2</sub> , x, R)	---
q <sub>5</sub>	(q <sub>5</sub> , 0, L)	(q <sub>5</sub> , 1, L)	(q <sub>5</sub> , 0, L)	(q <sub>0</sub> , B, R)
q <sub>6</sub>	(q <sub>3</sub> , B, R)	(q <sub>A</sub> , B, R)	---	---
q <sub>A</sub>	---	---	---	---



your roots to success...

	0	1	x	B
q <sub>0</sub>	(q <sub>1</sub> , B, R)	(q <sub>5</sub> , B, R)	---	---
q <sub>1</sub>	(q <sub>1</sub> , 0, R)	(q <sub>2</sub> , 1, R)	---	---
q <sub>2</sub>	(q <sub>3</sub> , 1, L)	(q <sub>2</sub> , 1, R)	---	(q <sub>4</sub> , B, L)
q <sub>3</sub>	(q <sub>3</sub> , 0, L)	(q <sub>3</sub> , 1, L)	---	(q <sub>0</sub> , B, R)
q <sub>4</sub>	(q <sub>4</sub> , 0, L)	(q <sub>4</sub> , B, L)	---	(q <sub>A</sub> , 0, R)
q <sub>5</sub>	(q <sub>5</sub> , B, R)	(q <sub>A</sub> , B, R)	(q <sub>5</sub> , B, R)	---
q <sub>6</sub>	(q <sub>6</sub> , x, L)	(q <sub>6</sub> , x, L)	(q <sub>6</sub> , x, L)	(q <sub>5</sub> , B, R)
q <sub>A</sub>	---	---	---	---

### CONVERSION OF REGULAR EXPRESSION TO TM

- **Step1:** Convert the RE to an equivalent Automaton without epsilon transitions
- **Step2:** Change both the +initial and final states of the Automata to an intermediate state
- **Step3:** insert a new initial state with a transition (B,B,R) to the Automata's initial state
- **Step4:** convert the transitions with label a to (a,a,R)
- **Step5:** insert a new final state with a transition (B,B,R) from Automata's final state to the new final state.



your roots to success...