

1 Null and Alternative Hypotheses

2 Test Statistic

3  $P$ -Value

4 Significance Level

5 One-Sample  $z$  Test


6 Power and Sample Size

# Terms Introduce in Prior

- Chapter
  - Population  $\equiv$  all possible values
  - Sample  $\equiv$  a portion of the population
  - Statistical inference  $\equiv$  generalizing from a sample to a population with calculated degree of certainty
  - Two forms of statistical inference
    - Hypothesis testing
    - Estimation
  - Parameter  $\equiv$  a characteristic of population, e.g., population mean  $\mu$
  - Statistic  $\equiv$  calculated from data in the sample, e.g., sample mean ( $\bar{x}$ )

# Distinctions Between Parameters and Statistics (Chapter 8 review)

|            | Parameters           | Statistics               |
|------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Source     | Population           | Sample                   |
| Notation   | Greek (e.g., $\mu$ ) | Roman (e.g., $\bar{x}$ ) |
| Vary       | No                   | Yes                      |
| Calculated | No                   | Yes                      |




# Sampling Distributions of a Mean

The **sampling distributions of a mean (SDM)** describes the behavior of a sampling mean

$$\bar{x} \sim N(\mu, SE_{\bar{x}})$$

$$\text{where } SE_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$



# Hypothesis Testing

- u Is also called *significance testing*
- u Tests a claim about a parameter using evidence (data in a sample)
- u The technique is introduced by considering a one-sample z test
- u The procedure is broken into four steps
- u *Each* element of the procedure must be understood

# Hypothesis Testing Steps

- A. Null and alternative hypotheses
- B. Test statistic
- C. P-value and interpretation
- D. Significance level (optional)

# Null and Alternative Hypotheses

- u Convert the research question to null and alternative hypotheses
- u The **null hypothesis ( $H_0$ )** is a claim of “no difference in the population”
- u The **alternative hypothesis ( $H_a$ )** claims “ $H_0$  is false”
- u Collect data and seek evidence against  $H_0$  as a way of bolstering  $H_a$  (deduction)

# Illustrative Example:

## “Body Weight”

- u The problem: In the 1970s, 20-29 year old men in the U.S. had a mean  $\mu$  body weight of 170 pounds. Standard deviation  $\sigma$  was 40 pounds. We test whether mean body weight in the population now differs.
- u Null hypothesis  $H_0: \mu = 170$  (“no difference”)
- u The alternative hypothesis can be either  $H_a: \mu > 170$  (one-sided test) or  $H_a: \mu \neq 170$  (two-sided test)

# Test Statistic

This is an example of a one-sample test of a mean when  $\sigma$  is known. Use this statistic to test the problem:

$$Z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\bar{x}}}$$

where  $\mu_0 \equiv$  population mean assuming  $H_0$  is true

$$\text{and } SE_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

# Illustrative Example: z statistic

- For the illustrative example,  $\mu_0 = 170$
- We know  $\sigma = 40$
- Take an SRS of  $n = 64$ . Therefore

- If we found a sample mean of 173, then

$$SE_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{40}{\sqrt{64}} = 5$$


$$z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\bar{x}}} = \frac{173 - 170}{5} = 0.60$$

# Illustrative Example: z statistic

If we found a sample mean of 185, then

$$z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\bar{x}}} = \frac{185 - 170}{5} = 3.00$$

# Reasoning Behind $\mu_{\bar{x}}$




Sampling distribution of  $\bar{x}$  under  $\mu = 170$  for  $n = 64 \Rightarrow \bar{x} \sim N(170, 5)$

# P-value


- u The *P*-value answer the question: What is the probability of the observed test statistic or one more extreme **when  $H_0$  is true?**
- u This corresponds to the AUC in the tail of the Standard Normal distribution beyond the  $z_{\text{stat}}$ .
- u Convert  $z$  statistics to *P*-value :
  - For  $H_a: \mu > \mu_0 \Rightarrow P = \Pr(Z > z_{\text{stat}})$  = right-tail beyond  $z_{\text{stat}}$
  - For  $H_a: \mu < \mu_0 \Rightarrow P = \Pr(Z < z_{\text{stat}})$  = left tail beyond  $z_{\text{stat}}$
  - For  $H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0 \Rightarrow P = 2 \times \text{one-tailed } P\text{-value}$
- u Use Table B or software to find these probabilities (next two slides).

# One-sided $P$ -value for $z_{\text{stat}}$ of

~ Distribution of  $\bar{x}$  and  $z_{\text{stat}}$  if  $H_0$  were true




# One-sided $P$ -value for $z_{\text{stat}}$ of



# Two-Sided $P$ -Value

- One-sided  $H_a \Rightarrow$  AUC in tail beyond  $z_{\text{stat}}$
- Two-sided  $H_a \Rightarrow$  consider potential deviations in both directions  $\Rightarrow$  double the one-sided  $P$ -value



Examples: If one-sided  $P = 0.0010$ , then two-sided  $P = 2 \times 0.0010 = 0.0020$ .  
If one-sided  $P = 0.2743$ , then two-sided  $P = 2 \times 0.2743 = 0.5486$ .

# Interpretation

- ⌚  $P$ -value answer the question: What is the probability of the observed test statistic ... **when  $H_0$  is true?**
- ⌚ Thus, smaller and smaller  $P$ -values provide stronger and stronger evidence against  $H_0$
- ⌚ Small  $P$ -value  $\Rightarrow$  strong evidence

# Interpretation

## Conventions\*

$P > 0.10 \Rightarrow$  non-significant evidence against  $H_0$

$0.05 < P \leq 0.10 \Rightarrow$  marginally significant evidence

$0.01 < P \leq 0.05 \Rightarrow$  significant evidence against  $H_0$

$P \leq 0.01 \Rightarrow$  highly significant evidence against  $H_0$

## Examples

$P = .27 \Rightarrow$  non-significant evidence against  $H_0$

$P = .01 \Rightarrow$  highly significant evidence against  $H_0$

# $\alpha$ -Level (Used in some situations)

- u Let  $\alpha \equiv$  probability of erroneously rejecting  $H_0$
- u Set  $\alpha$  threshold (e.g., let  $\alpha = .10, .05$ , or *whatever*)
- u Reject  $H_0$  when  $P \leq \alpha$
- u Retain  $H_0$  when  $P > \alpha$
- u Example: Set  $\alpha = .10$ . Find  $P = 0.27 \Rightarrow$  retain  $H_0$
- u Example: Set  $\alpha = .01$ . Find  $P = .001 \Rightarrow$  reject  $H_0$

# (Summary) One-Sample z Test

Hypothesis statements

$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs.

$H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$  (two-sided) or

$H_a: \mu < \mu_0$  (left-sided) or

$H_a: \mu > \mu_0$  (right-sided)

Test statistic

P-value: convert  $z_{\text{stat}}$  to P value

Significance statement (usually not necessary) 
$$Z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\bar{x}}} \text{ where } SE_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

# Conditions for z test

- υ  $\sigma$  known (not from data)
- υ Population approximately Normal or large sample (central limit theorem)
- υ SRS (or facsimile)
- υ Data valid

# The Lake Wobegon Example

“where all the children are above average”

- u Let  $X$  represent Weschler Adult Intelligence scores (WAIS)
- u Typically,  $X \sim N(100, 15)$
- u Take SRS of  $n = 9$  from Lake Wobegon population
- u Data  $\Rightarrow \{116, 128, 125, 119, 89, 99, 105, 116, 118\}$
- u Calculate:  $\bar{x} = 112.8$
- u Does sample mean provide strong evidence that population mean  $\mu > 100$ ?


# Example: “Lake Wobegon”

- A. Hypotheses:  
 $H_0: \mu = 100$  versus  
 $H_a: \mu > 100$  (one-sided)  
 $H_a: \mu \neq 100$  (two-sided)
- B. Test statistic:

$$SE_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{15}{\sqrt{9}} = 5$$

$$Z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\bar{x}}} = \frac{112.8 - 100}{5} = 2.56$$

C. *P*-value:  $P = \Pr(Z \geq 2.56) = 0.0052$



$P = .0052 \Rightarrow$  it is unlikely the sample came from this null distribution  $\Rightarrow$  strong evidence against  $H_0$

# Two-Sided $P$ -value: Lake Wobegon

- $H_a: \mu \neq 100$
- Considers random deviations “up” and “down” from  $\mu_0 \Rightarrow$  tails above and below  $\pm Z_{\text{stat}}$
- Thus, two-sided  $P$   
 $= 2 \times 0.0052$   
 $= 0.0104$

