

UNIT-IV

TESTING OF HYPOTHESIS

1 Null and Alternative Hypotheses

2 Test Statistic

3 P -Value

4 Significance Level

5 One-Sample z Test


6 Power and Sample Size

Terms Introduce in Prior

- Chapter
 - Population $\equiv$ all possible values
 - Sample $\equiv$ a portion of the population
 - Statistical inference $\equiv$ generalizing from a sample to a population with calculated degree of certainty
 - Two forms of statistical inference
 - Hypothesis testing
 - Estimation
 - Parameter $\equiv$ a characteristic of population, e.g., population mean μ
 - Statistic $\equiv$ calculated from data in the sample, e.g., sample mean ($\bar{x}$)

Distinctions Between Parameters and Statistics (Chapter 8 review)

	Parameters	Statistics
Source	Population	Sample
Notation	Greek (e.g., μ)	Roman (e.g., $\bar{x}$)
Vary	No	Yes
Calculated	No	Yes




Sampling Distributions of a Mean

The **sampling distributions of a mean (SDM)** describes the behavior of a sampling mean

$$\bar{x} \sim N(\mu, SE_{\bar{x}})$$

$$\text{where } SE_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$



Hypothesis Testing

- u Is also called *significance testing*
- u Tests a claim about a parameter using evidence (data in a sample)
- u The technique is introduced by considering a one-sample z test
- u The procedure is broken into four steps
- u *Each* element of the procedure must be understood

Hypothesis Testing Steps

- A. Null and alternative hypotheses
- B. Test statistic
- C. P-value and interpretation
- D. Significance level (optional)

Null and Alternative Hypotheses

- u Convert the research question to null and alternative hypotheses
- u The **null hypothesis (H_0)** is a claim of “no difference in the population”
- u The **alternative hypothesis (H_a)** claims “ H_0 is false”
- u Collect data and seek evidence against H_0 as a way of bolstering H_a (deduction)

Illustrative Example:

“Body Weight”

- u The problem: In the 1970s, 20-29 year old men in the U.S. had a mean μ body weight of 170 pounds. Standard deviation σ was 40 pounds. We test whether mean body weight in the population now differs.
- u Null hypothesis $H_0: \mu = 170$ (“no difference”)
- u The alternative hypothesis can be either $H_a: \mu > 170$ (one-sided test) or $H_a: \mu \neq 170$ (two-sided test)

Test Statistic

This is an example of a one-sample test of a mean when σ is known. Use this statistic to test the problem:

$$Z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\bar{x}}}$$

where $\mu_0 \equiv$ population mean assuming H_0 is true

$$\text{and } SE_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Illustrative Example: z statistic

- For the illustrative example, $\mu_0 = 170$
- We know $\sigma = 40$
- Take an SRS of $n = 64$. Therefore

- If we found a sample mean of 173, then

$$SE_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{40}{\sqrt{64}} = 5$$


$$z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\bar{x}}} = \frac{173 - 170}{5} = 0.60$$

Illustrative Example: z statistic

If we found a sample mean of 185, then

$$z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\bar{x}}} = \frac{185 - 170}{5} = 3.00$$

Reasoning Behind $\mu_{\bar{x}}$




Sampling distribution of $\bar{x}$ under $\mu = 170$ for $n = 64 \Rightarrow \bar{x} \sim N(170, 5)$

P-value


- u The *P*-value answer the question: What is the probability of the observed test statistic or one more extreme **when H_0 is true?**
- u This corresponds to the AUC in the tail of the Standard Normal distribution beyond the z_{stat} .
- u Convert z statistics to *P*-value :
 - For $H_a: \mu > \mu_0 \Rightarrow P = \Pr(Z > z_{\text{stat}})$ = right-tail beyond z_{stat}
 - For $H_a: \mu < \mu_0 \Rightarrow P = \Pr(Z < z_{\text{stat}})$ = left tail beyond z_{stat}
 - For $H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0 \Rightarrow P = 2 \times \text{one-tailed } P\text{-value}$
- u Use Table B or software to find these probabilities (next two slides).

One-sided P -value for z_{stat} of

~ Distribution of $\bar{x}$ and z_{stat} if H_0 were true




One-sided P -value for z_{stat} of



Two-Sided P -Value

- One-sided $H_a \Rightarrow$ AUC in tail beyond z_{stat}
- Two-sided $H_a \Rightarrow$ consider potential deviations in both directions $\Rightarrow$ double the one-sided P -value



Examples: If one-sided $P = 0.0010$, then two-sided $P = 2 \times 0.0010 = 0.0020$.
If one-sided $P = 0.2743$, then two-sided $P = 2 \times 0.2743 = 0.5486$.

Interpretation

- ⌞ P -value answer the question: What is the probability of the observed test statistic ... **when H_0 is true?**
- ⌞ Thus, smaller and smaller P -values provide stronger and stronger evidence against H_0
- ⌞ Small P -value $\Rightarrow$ strong evidence

Interpretation

Conventions*

$P > 0.10 \Rightarrow$ non-significant evidence against H_0

$0.05 < P \leq 0.10 \Rightarrow$ marginally significant evidence

$0.01 < P \leq 0.05 \Rightarrow$ significant evidence against H_0

$P \leq 0.01 \Rightarrow$ highly significant evidence against H_0

Examples

$P = .27 \Rightarrow$ non-significant evidence against H_0

$P = .01 \Rightarrow$ highly significant evidence against H_0

α -Level (Used in some situations)

- u Let $\alpha \equiv$ probability of erroneously rejecting H_0
- u Set α threshold (e.g., let $\alpha = .10, .05$, or *whatever*)
- u Reject H_0 when $P \leq \alpha$
- u Retain H_0 when $P > \alpha$
- u Example: Set $\alpha = .10$. Find $P = 0.27 \Rightarrow$ retain H_0
- u Example: Set $\alpha = .01$. Find $P = .001 \Rightarrow$ reject H_0

(Summary) One-Sample z Test

Hypothesis statements

$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ vs.

$H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$ (two-sided) or

$H_a: \mu < \mu_0$ (left-sided) or

$H_a: \mu > \mu_0$ (right-sided)

Test statistic

P-value: convert z_{stat} to P value

Significance statement (usually not necessary)
$$Z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\bar{x}}} \text{ where } SE_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Conditions for z test

- υ σ known (not from data)
- υ Population approximately Normal or large sample (central limit theorem)
- υ SRS (or facsimile)
- υ Data valid

The Lake Wobegon Example

“where all the children are above average”

- u Let X represent Weschler Adult Intelligence scores (WAIS)
- u Typically, $X \sim N(100, 15)$
- u Take SRS of $n = 9$ from Lake Wobegon population
- u Data $\Rightarrow \{116, 128, 125, 119, 89, 99, 105, 116, 118\}$
- u Calculate: $\bar{x} = 112.8$
- u Does sample mean provide strong evidence that population mean $\mu > 100$?

Example: “Lake Wobegon”

A.

Hypotheses:

$H_0: \mu = 100$ versus

$H_a: \mu > 100$ (one-sided)

$H_a: \mu \neq 100$ (two-sided)


B.

Test statistic:

$$SE_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{15}{\sqrt{9}} = 5$$

$$Z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SE_{\bar{x}}} = \frac{112.8 - 100}{5} = 2.56$$

C. *P*-value: $P = \Pr(Z \geq 2.56) = 0.0052$



$P = .0052 \Rightarrow$ it is unlikely the sample came from this null distribution $\Rightarrow$ strong evidence against H_0

Two-Sided P -value: Lake Wobegon

- $H_a: \mu \neq 100$
- Considers random deviations “up” and “down” from $\mu_0 \Rightarrow$ tails above and below $\pm Z_{\text{stat}}$
- Thus, two-sided P
 $= 2 \times 0.0052$
 $= 0.0104$

